Nonlinear response in a noncentrosymmetric topological insulator
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Nonlinear phenomena are inherent in most systems in nature. Second- or higher-order harmonic generations, three-wave mixing, and four-wave mixing are typical phenomena in nonlinear optics. To obtain a nonzero signal for second-harmonic generation in the long-wavelength limit (q → 0), the breaking of inversion symmetry is required. In topological materials, a hexagonal warping term that breaks the rotation symmetry of the Fermi surface is observed by angular-resolved photoemission spectroscopy. If a gap opens (e.g., by doping with magnetic impurities), the inversion symmetry will be broken. Here we use a nonlinear response theory based on a generalized Kubo formula to explain the frequency up-conversion in topological materials.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The nonlinear response to an external driving electromagnetic field \( \mathbf{E} \) or \( \mathbf{B} \) can be characterized by a conductivity tensor \( \sigma \), which is not a constant but depends on the magnitude of \( \mathbf{E} \) or \( \mathbf{B} \). Nonlinearity is often found to be important in optical devices, especially in the recent discovery of high-efficiency solar energy harvesting in noncentrosymmetric crystal structures such as perovskite oxides [1–5]. In three-dimensional (3D) topological insulator (TI) and ferroelectric materials, Dirac cones [6–10] obeying spin-momentum locking [11,12] with in-plane spin component perpendicular to the momentum \( \mathbf{k} \) were verified by spin-sensitive angular-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES). The quasiparticles (helical Dirac fermions) observed in topological materials possess an important feature: the Fermi contours are circular for small values of the chemical potential \( \mu \), and they acquire a snowflake [10] shape as \( \mu \) increases. Analyzing the experiment results, Fu [13] assigned a hexagonal warping term in the Hamiltonian of such quasiparticles. This term has a strong signature in the optical conductivity, spin texture, Hall conductivity, and circular dichroism of topological insulators [14–16].

The optical conductivity was predicted to show [14] a large near-linear increase with photon energy above the interband threshold as compared to the usual flat background [17–19] interband optical conductivity in graphene. The spin texture [20] (specifically, out-of-plane spin \( S_z \)) shows a mixture of up-and-down directions, in contrast to the normal all-up or all-down hedgehog-type [21] distribution for massive Dirac fermions (see, e.g., Fig. 5 of Ref. [16]). It is also possible to introduce a gap in the topological surface quasiparticles (massive Dirac fermions) by magnetic doping [22,23] in Bi2Se3 [22] and recently in Crx(Bi1−xSbx)2−xTe3 [23,24]. Considerable particle-hole asymmetry of the surface Dirac cone of a 3D TI is usually displayed, which can be modeled with a small subdominant Schrödinger quadratic-in-momentum term in addition to the dominant Dirac Hamiltonian. While perhaps small, the Schrödinger term has been shown to provide important modifications [25] in the chiral nonlinear magneto-optical conductivity (MOC), which is related to the absorption of left and right circularly polarized light of a 3D TI. This is to be compared with what is found in graphene [26–28] or the related single-layer silicene [29].

In this work, we focus on the nonlinear optical conductivity induced by an electric field \( \mathbf{E} \) in contrast to the nonlinear MOC, which is induced by a magnetic field \( \mathbf{B} \). We consider three-wave mixing [30] (e.g., second-harmonic generation) from noncentrosymmetric topological materials. Second-harmonic generation (SHG) was first demonstrated by projecting a laser beam through crystalline quartz [31]. Later on this effect was found in other materials (e.g., silicon surfaces) [32] with broken inversion symmetry. Theoretically, SHG was predicted to be nonzero in semiconductors [33], and more recently in single-layer graphene [34,35] with oblique incidence of radiation on the 2D electron layer. For oblique incidence, the incident radiation has a nonzero wave-vector component \( q \parallel \) parallel to the plane of the 2D layer. In the long-wavelength limit (\( q \to 0 \), normal incidence), the SHG vanishes because graphene is a centrosymmetric material. However, higher-order harmonics (e.g., third-harmonic generation) could be nonzero in graphene [36] or generally Dirac fermion systems [37]. The nonlinear coupling of three monochromatic waves, thus called three-wave mixing, has been successfully used to generate optical frequency up-conversion or down-conversion. Nonlinear optical analogs, including SHG, have also been studied recently in various contexts, including Josephson plasma waves [38] and cavity quantum electrodynamics [39–42].

In the following paragraphs, we present a Green’s function formalism for calculating the nonlinear conductivity in Sec. II. We use a two-band hexagonal warping model that can be found in surface states of 3D TI and ferroelectric materials. The inversion symmetry of the Fermi surface is broken by...
magnetic doping in the hexagonal warping model. In Sec. III we present the linear optical conductivity from the Green’s function formalism. In Sec. IV we present our numerical results of the nonlinear and linear conductivity for different sets of parameters (e.g., chemical potential, gap parameter, temperature, etc.). In Sec. V we summarize our results with a conclusion.

II. NONLINEAR OPTICAL CONDUCTIVITY

The linear conductivity \( \sigma_{xx} (\omega) \) is related to the current \( I_x (\omega) = \sigma_{xx} (\omega) E_x (\omega) \), while the nonlinear conductivity \( \tilde{\sigma}_{xx} (\omega, \omega) \) is related to the current \( I_x (2\omega) = \tilde{\sigma}_{xx} (\omega, \omega) E_x^2 (\omega) \). In general, the nonlinear conductivity is a tensor \( \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \). However, here for simplicity we only consider the xxx component of the tensor; the other components of the conductivity tensor can be obtained in a similar way. The nonlinear conductivity has been well studied in earlier references; for example, in Ref. [43] Eq. (2-48) defines the nonlinear conductivity as a product of momentum matrix elements, and then in Eq. (2-49) the momentum matrix elements were connected to velocity matrix elements.

For the linear conductivity it has been shown in Chap. 8 of Ref. [44] that Eq. (8.55) uses a trace of momentum operators and Green’s functions, and then in Eq. (8.55) this was connected to the product of velocity matrix elements. The velocity matrix element is connected to the position matrix element and the shift vector [45]. For nonlinear conductivity, instead of using velocity matrix elements [43] directly, we define the nonlinear conductivity as a trace of velocity operators and Green’s functions [44]; the imaginary frequency in each Green’s function is set by using a triangle Feynman diagram, 

\[
\tilde{\sigma}_{\text{xxx}} (\omega, \omega) = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\theta \int_{0}^{k_{\text{cut}}} \frac{dk}{T} \sum_{l} \text{Tr}(v_{l} \hat{G}(k, i\omega_{l} + \delta) + i\omega_{l} \hat{G}(k, i\omega_{l} - \delta))_{i\omega_{l} \rightarrow \omega + i\delta},
\]

where \( v_{l} \) is the velocity operator and \( \hat{G}(k, i\omega_{l}) \) is the matrix Green’s function, \( e \) is the charge of the electron, \( k \) is the absolute value of the momentum \( k \) with direction \( \theta \) and cutoff \( k_{\text{cut}} \). \( T \) is the temperature with \( \omega_{n} = 2n\pi T, \omega_{l} = (2l + 1)\pi T \) the boson and fermion Matsubara frequencies, \( n \) and \( l \) are integers, and \( \text{Tr} \) is a trace. To obtain the nonlinear conductivity, which is a real frequency quantity, we needed to make an analytic continuation from imaginary \( \omega_{l} \) to real \( \omega \), and \( \delta \) is infinitesimal. This is valid for the long-wavelength limit \( q \rightarrow 0 \).

Consider a two-band model as an example. The velocity operators and matrix Green’s functions are \( 2 \times 2 \) matrices, and they can be expanded onto the basis of Pauli matrices \( \sigma = (\sigma_{x}, \sigma_{y}, \sigma_{z}) \) as \( v_{l} = \omega_{l} + \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{\sigma} \), and \( \hat{G}(k, i\omega_{l}) = g_{0} + \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{\sigma} \). We can use the algebra \( (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{\sigma})(\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{\sigma}) = (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{g})\mathbf{l}_{2} + i(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{g}) \cdot \mathbf{\sigma} \) to evaluate the trace, and the complicated results will be contained in the function \( F(k, \theta) \) to be integrated further in momentum space \((k, \theta)\). We can also perform the sum over the internal fermion Matsubara frequencies \( \omega_{l} \), and the result is a Fermi-Dirac distribution function defined as \( f(\chi) = 1 / [\exp(\chi / T - \mu / T) + 1] \). After tedious but straightforward algebra (details in the Appendix), we finally obtained both the interband and intraband nonlinear optical conductivity. The intraband optical conductivity contributes to the frequency region of \( \omega \approx 0 \) and is given in the Appendix. In the equations below, we present the results of the interband optical conductivity \( \tilde{\sigma}_{\text{inter}} (\omega, \omega) \),

\[
\tilde{\sigma}_{\text{inter}} (\omega, \omega) = \frac{ie^{3}}{\hbar^{3} \omega^{2} \pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\theta \int_{0}^{k_{\text{cut}}} k d\theta \frac{[f(E) - f(-E)]}{E} \times \left[ \frac{1}{\hbar \omega + i\delta + 2E} - \frac{1}{\hbar \omega + i\delta - 2E} \right. \\
+ \left. \frac{2}{\hbar \omega + i\delta + E} - \frac{2}{\hbar \omega + i\delta + E} \right].
\]

Here \( E \) is the quasiparticle energy, which depends on the momentum \((k_{x}, k_{y}) = (k \cos(\theta), k \sin(\theta))\). Take a two-band hexagonal warping model as an example. The Hamiltonian is given by

\[
H_{0} = v_{k}(k_{x} \sigma_{y} - k_{y} \sigma_{x}) + \frac{\lambda}{2}(k_{x}^{3} + k_{y}^{3}) \sigma_{z} + M \sigma_{z}.
\]

This model has been used to describe the surface-state band structure near the \( \Gamma \) point in the surface Brillouin zone of a 3D TI and also recently in ferroelectric materials. The Dirac fermion velocity to second order is \( v_{k} = \hbar v_{F}(1 + ak^{2}) \), with \( v_{F} \) the usual Fermi velocity and \( \hbar v_{F} \) measured to be 2.55 eV Å, and \( a \) is a constant that is fit along with \( m \) to the measured band structure in Ref. [13]. Here \( m \) appears in the quadratic term \( \hbar^{2} k_{x}^{2}/(2m) \), which, for simplicity, is dropped in the Hamiltonian \( H_{0} \). The inclusion of the quadratic term provides particle-hole asymmetry; however, the wave function is not changed [16], thus the Berry curvature and Berry connection (defined from the wave function) are not modified by this quadratic term. For simplicity, the quadratic correction to the velocity \( \alpha \) is also discarded. The magnitude of the hexagonal warping parameter is \( \lambda = 200 \) eV Å, estimated from the measured Fermi velocity. The same value was used in Ref. [13]. The \( \sigma_{x}, \sigma_{y}, \sigma_{z} \) are Pauli matrices here referring to spin, while in graphene these would relate instead to pseudospin. Finally, \( k_{\parallel} \) is \( k_{z} \pm ik_{y} \), with the \( k_{x}, k_{y} \) momentum along the \( x \) and \( y \) axis, respectively. \( M \) is the strength of the gap that opens when the topological thin film is in proximity to magnetic impurities.

The quasiparticle energy dispersion relation is given by

\[
E = \sqrt{v_{k}^{2} k^{2} + [\lambda k^{3} \cos(\theta)]^{2} + M^{2},}
\]

and the function \( F(k, \theta) \) is given by

\[
F(k, \theta) = \frac{k_{x} v_{k}^{2} + 3\lambda \left(k_{y}^{2} - k_{x}^{2}\right)[M + \lambda k_{x}(k_{y}^{2} - 3k_{x}^{2})]}{E^{3}} \times \left[ v_{k}^{2} k_{x}^{3} - \lambda^{2} (4k_{x}^{6} + 9k_{x}^{4}k_{y}^{2} - 18k_{x}^{2}k_{y}^{4} + 9k_{y}^{6}) \right. \\
\left. - 4\lambda k_{y}^{4} + M^{2} \right].
\]
nonvanishing second-harmonic generation nonlinear conductivity in the long-wavelength limit $q \to 0$.

III. LINEAR OPTICAL CONDUCTIVITY

It is well known [16] that the linear optical conductivity is obtained from the standard Kubo formula in terms of the matrix Green’s function and velocity operators. The longitudinal conductivity is given by

\[
\tilde{\sigma}_{xx}(\omega) = \frac{ie^2}{4\pi^2\hbar^2\omega} \int_0^{k_m} dk \, dk \, d\theta \times T \sum_i \text{Tr}(v_i \hat{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) v_i \hat{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n + i\omega)),
\]

which works out to be

\[
\tilde{\sigma}_{xx}^{\text{int}}(\omega) = \frac{ie^2}{4\pi^2\hbar^2\omega} \int_0^{k_m} dk \, dk \, d\theta \frac{H(k, \theta)(f(E) - f(-E))}{E} \times \left[ \frac{1}{\hbar \omega + i\delta + 2E} - \frac{1}{\hbar \omega + i\delta - 2E} \right],
\]

where the function $H(k, \theta)$ is given by

\[
H(k, \theta) = \frac{v_k^2}{E}(\theta \lambda^2 k^2 \cos^2(\theta) + [M + \lambda k^3 \cos(3\theta)])^2
+ v_k^2 \sin^2 \theta - 6\lambda k^3 \cos(2\theta)
\times \cos \theta [M + \lambda k^3 \cos(3\theta)].
\]

It is interesting to check the units of $H(k, \theta)$ and $F(k, \theta)$. We find that $F(k, \theta) \times k$ and $H(k, \theta)$ have the same unit as $v_k^2 E$. So $\tilde{\sigma}_{xx}$ has the same unit as $\tilde{\sigma}_{xx} \times e/(h\omega k)$. Then the product of the nonlinear conductivity $\tilde{\sigma}_{xx}$ and external electric field, $\tilde{\sigma}_{xx} \times E_x$, has the same unit as $\tilde{\sigma}_{xx}$, as expected.

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

To evaluate the nonlinear optical conductivity, we need to perform an integration in momentum space, which is restricted by the Fermi-Dirac distribution function $f(x)$. At zero temperature, the restricted area is the Fermi surface shown in Fig. 1. In (a), for a small chemical potential $\mu = 0.1 \text{ eV}$, the Fermi surface is very close to but not a perfect circle because of the small gap $2M = 20 \text{ meV}$. At larger chemical potential $\mu = 0.4 \text{ eV}$, the Fermi surface deviates a bit from a snowflake shape. In (b) a much larger gap $2M = 200 \text{ meV}$ is used and the Fermi surface is significantly distorted. The inversion symmetry is broken in both (a) and (b).

In Figs. 2 and 3 we plot the numerical results of the real part of the interband nonlinear optical conductivity $\tilde{\sigma}_{xx}^{\text{int}}(\omega, \omega)$ and linear optical conductivity $\tilde{\sigma}_{xx}^{\text{int}}(\omega)$, respectively. In Fig. 2, we find that if the chemical potential $\mu$ is smaller than half the gap $M$, the onset frequency is $M$. Because the chemical potential $\mu$ lies in the gap, the minimum energy for the interband transition is $2M$. The energy of absorbing two photons is $2\omega$, so that the onset frequency $2\omega \geq 2M$. If the chemical potential $\mu$ is larger than $M$, the onset frequency is the chemical potential $\mu$, because in this case $2\omega \geq 2\mu$. There is a small drop in the nonlinear optical conductivity at $\omega = 2\mu$, because this is the onset frequency for another interband transition involving one photon absorbing. Thus the number of photons in the process of frequency doubling

![FIG. 1. Constant-energy contours for the dispersion curves used to describe the surface states in a 3D TI. In (a) the gap is $2M = 20 \text{ meV}$, while in (b) the gap is $2M = 200 \text{ meV}$. The chemical potential $\mu$ can be changed by doping the 3D TI. The four contours shown in green correspond to different chemical potentials $\mu = 0.1 \text{ eV}$ (in (b) this contour disappears), $\mu = 0.2 \text{ eV}$, $\mu = 0.3 \text{ eV}$ and $\mu = 0.4 \text{ eV}$. The $k_x$ and $k_y$ axes are in units of $0.1 \text{ Å}^{-1}$. In (a), for the contour $\mu = 0.1 \text{ eV}$, one can see the Fermi surface deviate slightly from a perfect circle; for a higher chemical potential, the Fermi surface is a snowflake shape. In (b) the Fermi surface becomes significantly distorted. The hexagonal warping parameter here is $\lambda = 0.2 \text{ eV (nm)}^3$.](image1)

![FIG. 2. Real part of the nonlinear optical conductivity $\tilde{\sigma}_{xx}(\omega, \omega)$ vs frequency $\omega$ in eV. Three different chemical potentials are used, with a black solid line for $\mu = 0.1 \text{ eV}$, a red dashed line for $\mu = 0.2 \text{ eV}$, and a green short-dashed line for $\mu = 0.3 \text{ eV}$. The impurity scattering self-energy $\delta = 0.001 \text{ eV}$ and the temperature $T = 1 \text{ K}$.](image2)
The sharp jumps in the real part of the conductivity shown in Fig. 2.

The absolute value of the imaginary part of the nonlinear conductivity decreases to zero faster than that of the linear conductivity.

V. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we developed a method based on the trace of the velocity operator and Green’s function to calculate the nonlinear response functions in a noncentrosymmetric topological insulator. Our method is equivalent to the velocity matrix element method [43] if a two-band free-electron approximation was considered. We obtained the nonlinear conductivity for frequency up-conversion in the second-harmonic generation. In the model used here (the two-band hexagonal warping model), the energy scale is around 200 meV in the far-infrared region, relevant for the thermal energy. This model describes surface states of a 3D TI. If the 3D TI (Bi$_{1-y}$Sb$_y$)$_2$Te$_3$ (BST) is doped with magnetic impurities, a small gap is opened in Cr$_x$(Bi$_{1-y}$Sb$_y$)$_2$Te$_3$ (CBST) [23,24], thus the inversion symmetry was broken.
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In the free-electron approximation (ignoring impurity scattering and electron-phonon scattering), the spectral functions are given
\[ \sigma(k, \omega_n) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=\pm} (1 + s \mathbf{F}_k \cdot \sigma)G_0(k, s, i\omega_n), \] (A1)

where
\[ \mathbf{F}_k = \left[ -v_k k \sin \theta, v_k k \cos \theta, \lambda k^3 \cos(3\theta) + M \right]. \]

The trace can be carried out in general as
\[ \text{Tr}((a_0 + a \cdot \sigma)(g_{01} + g_{1} \cdot \sigma)(a_0 + a \cdot p)(g_{02} + g_{2} \cdot \sigma)) = \text{Tr}[(A \cdot G_1 + (A \times G_1) \cdot \sigma)(A \cdot G_2 + (A \times G_2) \cdot \sigma)(A \cdot G_3 + (A \times G_3) \cdot \sigma)] \]
\[ = [(A \cdot G_1)(A \cdot G_2) + (A \times G_1) \cdot (A \times G_2)](A \cdot G_3) + [(A \cdot G_2)(A \times G_1) + (A \cdot G_1)(A \times G_2) \cdot (A \times G_3) \]
\[ + i(A \times G_1) \times (A \times G_2) \times (A \times G_3). \]

The matrix Green’s function \( \tilde{G}(k, \omega_n) \) can be conveniently written in terms of a matrix spectral function \( \hat{\Lambda}(k, \omega) \) with
\[ \tilde{G}(k, \omega_n) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \hat{\Lambda}(k, \omega), \] (A3)

and then the conductivity in the long-wavelength limit becomes
\[ \tilde{\sigma}_{xx}(\omega, \omega) = \frac{e^3}{\omega^2} \frac{i}{4\pi} \int_0^{k_{\text{cu}}} k dk d\theta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega_1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega_2}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega_3}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\omega_1 - \omega_1} \frac{1}{\omega_2 - \omega_2} \frac{1}{\omega_3 - \omega_3} \times \text{Tr}(v_i \hat{\Lambda}(k, \omega_1)v_i \hat{\Lambda}(k, \omega_2)v_i \hat{\Lambda}(k, \omega_3))_{\omega_n \rightarrow \omega \pm \delta}. \] (A4)
One can also evaluate the intraband conductivity analytically; one example was given in the Appendix of Ref. [14].

The intraband conductivity can be numerically evaluated by replacing the

The trace can be carried out as

\[
\text{Tr}(v_\mu \tilde{A}(k,\omega_1)v_\nu \tilde{A}(k,\omega_2)v_\lambda \tilde{A}(k,\omega_3))
\]

\[
= 8F(k,\theta)[\delta(\omega_1 + \mu - E)\delta(\omega_2 + \mu + E)\delta(\omega_3 + \mu - E) + \delta(\omega_1 + \mu + E)\delta(\omega_2 + \mu - E)\delta(\omega_3 + \mu + E) - \delta(\omega_1 + \mu + E)\delta(\omega_2 + \mu - E)\delta(\omega_3 + \mu - E) + \delta(\omega_1 + \mu + E)\delta(\omega_2 + \mu + E)\delta(\omega_3 + \mu + E)]
\]

where we have defined two functions,

\[
\begin{align*}
F(k,\theta) &= \left[ k_x v_k^2 + 3\lambda(k_x^2 - k_y^2) \right] \left[ M + \lambda k_x (k_x^2 - 3k_y^2) \right]^{-1/2} v_\lambda^2 \\
F_{\text{intra}}(k,\theta) &= \left[ k_x v_k^2 + 3\lambda(k_x^2 - k_y^2) \right] \left[ M + \lambda k_x (k_x^2 - 3k_y^2) \right]^{-1/2} k_\mu \left[ v_k^2 + 3\lambda^2(k_x^2 - 4k_y^2k_y^2 + 3k_y^4) \right] + 3\lambda M(k_x^2 - k_y^2)^2
\end{align*}
\]

These terms can be separated into interband and intraband contributions to the nonlinear conductivity.

**APPENDIX B: INTRABAND NONLINEAR CONDUCTIVITY**

The intraband nonlinear conductivity includes those terms proportional to \(\delta(\omega_1 + \mu - E)\delta(\omega_2 + \mu + E)\delta(\omega_3 + \mu + E)\) and \(\delta(\omega_1 + \mu + E)\delta(\omega_2 + \mu + E)\delta(\omega_3 + \mu + E)\), which will contribute to the zero-frequency dc conductivity. Performing the sum over Matsubara frequencies, we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
T &\sum_l \frac{1}{i\omega_l - \omega_1} \frac{1}{i\omega_l + i\omega_{n1} - \omega_2} \frac{1}{i\omega_l - i\omega_{n2} - \omega_3} \\
&= T \sum_l \frac{1}{i\omega_{n1} - \omega_2 + \omega_1} \left( \frac{1}{i\omega_l - \omega_1} - \frac{1}{i\omega_l + i\omega_{n1} - \omega_2} \right) \frac{1}{i\omega_l - i\omega_{n2} - \omega_3} \\
&= T \sum_l \frac{1}{i\omega_{n1} - \omega_2 + \omega_1} \left[ \frac{1}{-i\omega_{n2} - \omega_3 + \omega_2} \left( \frac{1}{i\omega_l - \omega_1} - \frac{1}{i\omega_l - i\omega_{n2} - \omega_3} \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{i\omega_{n1} - \omega_2 + \omega_1} \left[ f(\omega_3) - f(\omega_1) \right] + \frac{f(\omega_2) - f(\omega_3)}{i\omega_{n1} + i\omega_{n2} + \omega_3 - \omega_2}
\end{align*}
\]

And the intraband conductivity becomes

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\sigma}_{xxx}(\omega,\omega)_{\text{intra}} &= \frac{e^3}{2\omega^2 4\pi^2} \int_0^{k_{\text{cut}}} dk d\theta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega_1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega_2}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega_3}{2\pi} F_{\text{intra}}(k,\theta) \times [\delta(\omega_1 + \mu - E)\delta(\omega_2 + \mu + E)\delta(\omega_3 + \mu - E) - \delta(\omega_1 + \mu + E)\delta(\omega_2 + \mu - E)\delta(\omega_3 + \mu + E)] \\
&\times \frac{1}{\omega + i\delta - \omega_2 + \omega_1} \left[ \frac{f(\omega_3) - f(\omega_1)}{\omega + i\delta + \omega_3 - \omega_1} + \frac{f(\omega_2) - f(\omega_3)}{2\omega + 2i\delta + \omega_3 - \omega_2} \right].
\end{align*}
\]

The intraband conductivity can be numerically evaluated by replacing the \(\delta\) function with the broadened Lorentzian function. One can also evaluate the intraband conductivity analytically; one example was given in the Appendix of Ref. [14].
APPENDIX C: INTERBAND NONLINEAR CONDUCTIVITY

The other terms like $\delta(\omega + \mu - E) \delta(\omega + \mu + E) \delta(\omega + \mu - E)$ are included in the interband nonlinear conductivity, which will contribute to the nonzero-frequency ac conductivity, written as

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{\text{xxx}}(\omega, \omega)_{\text{inter}} = \frac{4e^3}{\omega^2} \int_0^{k_{\text{F}}} k dk d\theta F(k, \theta) \left[ \frac{1}{\omega + i\delta + 2E} \left( f(-E) - f(0) \right) + \frac{1}{\omega + i\delta - 2E} \left( f(0) - f(-E) \right) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\omega + i\delta} \left( \frac{f(-E) - f(E)}{\omega + i\delta - 2E} + \frac{f(E) - f(-E)}{\omega + 2i\delta - 2E} \right) - \frac{1}{\omega + i\delta - 2E} \left( \frac{f(E) - f(-E)}{2\omega + 2i\delta - 2E} \right)$$

which is further simplified as

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{\text{xxx}}(\omega, \omega)_{\text{inter}} = \frac{2ie^3}{\omega^2\pi^2} \int_0^{k_{\text{F}}} k dk d\theta F(k, \theta) \left[ \frac{2}{\omega + i\delta - 2E} \frac{f(E) - f(-E)}{\omega + i\delta + 2E} \right]$$

$$- \frac{f(E) - f(-E)}{\omega + i\delta - 2E} \left( \frac{1}{\omega + i\delta - 2E} \frac{f(E) - f(-E)}{\omega + i\delta + 2E} \right)$$

Finally, we obtained

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{\text{xxx}}(\omega, \omega)_{\text{inter}} = \frac{ie^3}{\omega^2\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_0^{k_{\text{F}}} k dk \left[ \frac{f(E) - f(-E)}{E} \right]$$

$$\times F(k, \theta) \left[ \frac{1}{\omega + i\delta + 2E} - \frac{1}{\omega + i\delta - 2E} + \frac{2}{\omega + i\delta - E} + \frac{2}{\omega + i\delta + E} \right].$$ (C1)


