Majorana corner states in a two-dimensional magnetic topological insulator on a high-temperature superconductor
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Conventional n-dimensional topological superconductors (TSCs) have protected gapless (n − 1)-dimensional boundary states. In contrast to this, second-order TSCs are characterized by topologically protected gapless (n − 2)-dimensional states with the usual gapped (n − 1) boundaries. Here, we study a second-order TSC with a two-dimensional (2D) magnetic topological insulator proximity coupled to a high-temperature superconductor, where Majorana bound states (MBSs) are localized at the corners of a square sample with gapped edge modes. Due to the mirror symmetry of the hybrid system considered here, there are two MBSs at each corner for both cases: d-wave and s±-wave superconducting pairing. We present the corresponding topological phase diagrams related to the role of the magnetic exchange interaction and the pairing amplitude. A detailed analysis, based on edge theory, reveals the origin of the existence of MBSs at the corners of the 2D sample, which results from the sign change of the Dirac mass emerging at the intersection of any two adjacent edges due to pairing symmetry. Possible experimental realizations are discussed. Our proposal offers a promising platform for realizing MBSs and performing possible non-Abelian braiding in 2D systems.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The study of nontrivial topological bands has led to the advent of a plethora of novel phases of matter characterized by topological invariants, which are independent of their microscopic details. These phases are characterized by a finite energy gap in the bulk and protected gapless states at their edges, with unusual properties. Recent years have seen a great deal of theoretical and experimental efforts towards the realization and exploration of Majorana zero modes (MZMs) in topological phases of quantum matter [1–6]. MZMs are zero-energy bound quasiparticles emerging at the boundaries of topological superconductors (TSCs), which are expected to exhibit exotic non-Abelian anyon statistics. This distinct feature makes MZMs promising for studying fault-tolerant topological quantum computations [7–9]. Several promising condensed-matter systems potentially hosting MZMs have been proposed, including spin-orbit coupling semiconductor nanowire/superconductor hybrid structures [10–15], ferromagnetic atomic chains on superconductors [16–19], topological insulator/superconductor hybrid structures [20–24], and hybrid systems with unconventional superconductivity [25–29], among others.

The nontrivial topological band structure of superconductor systems is the essential ingredient for the creation of MZMs in previous proposals, which is characterized by the bulk-boundary correspondence. Very recently, the concept of higher-order topological insulators (TI) [30–41] was put forward, where the usual form of the bulk-boundary correspondence is no longer applicable. As a new type of topological phase, it has no gapless surface states on three-dimensional (3D) insulators and gapless edge states on two-dimensional (2D) ones. Nevertheless, the n-dimensional systems have protected gapless (n − 2)-dimensional states with the usual gapped (n − 1)-dimensional boundaries. For example, a second-order TI in three dimensions hosts one-dimensional gapless modes in its hinges, while a second-order 2D TI has zero-energy states localized at its corners.

In terms of second-order TSCs in two dimensions, the MZMs will emerge at its corner, i.e., Majorana corner states (MCSs), which are localized at the intersection of two gapped topologically distinct edges. The study of MCSs is still at a very exploratory stage, and a few works were recently reported: high-temperature Majorana Kramers pairs with time-reversal symmetry localized at corners [42–44], MCSs in a p-wave superconductor with an in-plane external magnetic field [45], Majorana bound states (MBSs) in a second-order Kitaev spin liquid [46], and 2D and 3D second-order TSCs with (p + ip) and (p + id) superconductors [47].

In this paper, we study a kind of hybrid superconducting structure with a 2D magnetic TI and a high-temperature superconductor. This 2D magnetic TI shows a quantum anomalous Hall effect and has been intensively investigated [48–50]. These can now be experimentally realized by introducing magnetic doping with Cr, V, or Mn ions [51–57] or inducing proximity-induced ferromagnetism with a ferromagnetic insulator (FI) (i.e., TI/FI heterostructure) [57,58] to TI. Moreover, chiral MZMs are currently experimentally observed in a magnetic TI through the proximity effect to a conventional s-wave superconductor [24]. Additionally, the cuprate-based [59–61] and iron-based [62–66] high-temperature superconductors have been experimentally reported to induce topological superconductivity. One important open question is whether
a 2D magnetic TI approximated by a high-temperature superconductor can exhibit a second-order TSC hosting MBSs localized at their corners and how the magnetic exchange interaction in the 2D magnetic TI influences the second-order topological features. Here, we show that a second-order TSC can be achieved by a 2D magnetic TI grown on a cuprate-based or iron-based high-temperature superconductor. Although the hybrid superconductor system is in the topologically trivial regime with an insulating gap, there are MBSs localized at each corner of a square sample. The existence of MCSs requires a magnetic insulator in a topologically nontrivial regime with protected chiral edges. These edge states can be gapped out once the high-temperature superconductor pairing (e.g., \(-\)wave pairing) is introduced. Due to the superconducting pairing symmetry, the gapped two adjacent edges intersecting at corners have opposite Dirac masses, where \(m_x\) and \(m_y\) are the intraorbital hopping amplitudes along the \(x\) and \(y\) axes, while \(\mu\) is the chemical potential. Moreover, \(\lambda_z\) is the spin-orbital coupling strength, and \(\lambda_z\) is the exchange field amplitude along the \(z\) axis induced by the magnetization. The superconducting pairing terms \(\Delta_0\), \(\Delta_x\), and \(\Delta_y\) are combined to characterize \(d_{x^2−y^2}\) and \(s_{\pm}\)-wave pairing.

According to Eqs. (1)–(4), the Bogoliubov–de Gennes (BdG) Hamiltonian can be written as \(\mathcal{H}_{\text{BdG}} = \sum_k \Psi_k \mathcal{H}_{\text{BdG}}(\mathbf{k})\), where \(\Psi_k = (c_{k,a,\uparrow}, c_{k,a,\downarrow}, c_{k,b,\downarrow}, c_{k,b,\uparrow}, c_{k,a,a,\downarrow}, -c_{k,a,\downarrow}, c_{k,b,\downarrow}, -c_{k,b,\uparrow})^T\),

\[
\mathcal{H}_{\text{BdG}}(\mathbf{k}) = m(k)\sigma_z \tau_z + \lambda_{so}[\sin(k_x)s_x + \sin(k_y)s_y]\sigma_x \tau_x + \lambda_z s_z - \mu \tau_x + \Delta(k)\tau_z;
\]

where \(m(k)\) and \(\Delta(k)\) are

\[
m(k) = m_0 + m_x \cos(k_x) + m_y \cos(k_y),
\]

\[
\Delta(k) = \Delta_0 + \Delta_x \cos(k_x) + \Delta_y \cos(k_y),
\]

where \(\tau_i (i = x, y, z)\) are Pauli matrices in the Nambu particle-hole space.

The Hamiltonian \(\mathcal{H}_{\text{BdG}}(\mathbf{k})\) is invariant under a particle-hole symmetry \(\Theta = \tau_x \sigma_y \mathcal{K}\), with \(\mathcal{K}\) being the complex conjugation operator, a mirror-reflection symmetry \(M_z = i \sigma_z \sigma_x\), a fourfold rotational symmetry \(C_4 = e^{-i\pi/4}\), and an inversion symmetry \(\mathcal{P} = \sigma_z\),

\[
\Theta \mathcal{H}_{\text{BdG}}(k_x, k_y) \Theta^{-1} = -\mathcal{H}_{\text{BdG}}(-k_x, -k_y),
\]

\[
M_z \mathcal{H}_{\text{BdG}}(k_x, k_y) M_z^{-1} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{BdG}}(k_x, k_y),
\]

\[
C_4 \mathcal{H}_{\text{BdG}}(k_x, k_y) C_4^{-1} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{BdG}}(-k_x, -k_y),
\]

\[
\mathcal{P} \mathcal{H}_{\text{BdG}}(k_x, k_y) \mathcal{P}^{-1} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{BdG}}(-k_x, -k_y).
\]

### III. RESULTS

#### A. \(d\)-wave pairing

We first consider a magnetic TI grown on a \(d\)-wave cuprate high-temperature superconductor that has been widely investigated in experiments [59–61]. For a \(d\)-wave superconductor with \(d_{x^2−y^2}\)-wave symmetry, the pairing amplitude satisfies

\[
\Delta_0 = 0, \quad \Delta_x = -\Delta_y = \Delta_1.
\]
To explore whether the hybrid system of a magnetic TI/d-wave superconductor exhibits second-order nontrivial topological phases, which support Majorana bound states at each corner of a square sample, we first calculate the energy-band spectrum of the system. The 2D magnetic insulator is in the topologically nontrivial regime when the system parameters satisfy

\[ |m_x| - |m_y| < |m_0 \pm \lambda_z| < |m_x| + |m_y|. \]  

(13)

Figures 2(a) and 2(b) show the energy-band structure of a 2D magnetic TI nanoribbon along the $x$ and $y$ directions, respectively. The red lines represent two degenerate gapless chiral edge states characterized by the Chern number $\mathcal{N} = 2$. The zero-energy edge states in the $y$ and $x$ directions exist at the $k_x = 0$ and $k_y = 0$ points with the parameters considered, respectively.

When a $d_{x^2-y^2}$ pairing is added to the magnetic TI, the chiral edges are gapped out [see red lines in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d)]. In this case, the hybrid system is in a topologically trivial regime with $\mathcal{N} = 0$. However, by calculating the eigenenergies of a finite square sample, two quite localized zero-energy corner states emerge at each corner, as shown in Fig. 2(e). Due to particle-hole symmetry $\Theta$, these zero-energy corner states are MZMs known as Majorana corner states. The inset in Fig. 2(e) exhibits the symmetrical eigenenergies with particle and hole bands. Figure 3 shows the BdG energy spectrum with open boundary conditions in the $x$ and $y$ directions as a function of $\lambda_z$. The eightfold-degenerate localized zero-energy MCSs states are indicated by the red curves, which exist only in finite amplitudes of the exchange field.

Figure 4 shows the topological phase diagram of the magnetic TI/d$_{x^2-y^2}$ high-temperature superconductor hybrid system in the $(m_0, \lambda_z)$ plane, which reveals three distinct phases: (i) second-order topological superconductor with MCSs, (ii) chiral MZMs characterized by a finite Chern number $\mathcal{N}$, and (iii) topologically trivial states $\mathcal{N} = 0$ with zero chiral MZMs and MCSs. The phase boundaries are determined by the zero eigenenergy of the BdG Hamiltonian in Eq. (5) at the four corners of the Brillouin zone of a square lattice, i.e., $\Gamma = (0, 0), X = (0, \pi), Y = (\pi, 0)$, and $M = (\pi, \pi)$, where $\Gamma$ and $M$ are two high-symmetry points. The energies at these points are

\[ E_\Gamma = \pm \mu \pm (m_0 + m_x + m_y) \pm \lambda_z, \]  

(14)

\[ E_M = \pm \mu \pm (m_0 - m_x - m_y) \pm \lambda_z, \]  

(15)

\[ E_X = \pm \sqrt{(\mu + m_0 + m_x + m_y)^2 + 4\Delta^2} \pm \lambda_z, \]  

(16)

\[ E_Y = \pm \sqrt{(\mu + m_0 + m_x + m_y)^2 + 4\Delta^2} \pm \lambda_z. \]  

(17)

In addition, the existence of MCSs requires the 2D magnetic insulator in the topologically nontrivial regime [see Eq. (13)]. All these determine the topological phase diagram shown in Fig. 4.

In order to intuitively understand the appearances of MBSs at the corners, we apply the edge theory (see, e.g., [2,42,45]). Due to the mirror-reflection symmetry $\mathcal{M}_{\Gamma}$, the BdG Hamiltonian can be written in the block-diagonal form by a unitary transformation $U$, where $H_+(\mathbf{k})$ acts on the $+i$ mirror subspace, $H_-(\mathbf{k})$ acts on the $-i$ mirror subspace, they are expressed as

\[ U H_{\text{BdG}} U^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} H_+(\mathbf{k}) & 0 \\ 0 & H_-(\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix}, \]  

(18)

with $H_+ = m(k)\tau_z + \lambda_z\eta_z - \mu\tau_x + \Delta(k)\tau_z$ and $H_- = \lambda_0[\sin(k_x)\eta_x \pm \sin(k_y)\eta_y]\tau_z$. (19)
with zero chiral MZMs and MCSs. The parameters are chosen to be a finite Chern number \( \Delta_1/N \), and (iii) topologically trivial states \( N = 0 \) with zero chiral MZMs and MCSs. The parameters are chosen to be \( \lambda_{\text{so}} = 1, m_x = m_y = 1, \mu = 0, \) and \( \Delta_1 = 0.5 \).

In order to solve the effective Hamiltonian of the edge states, we consider the continuum model of the lattice Hamiltonian by expanding its wave vector \( k \) in Eqs. (18)–(19) to second order around the \( \Gamma = (0,0) \) point (we can also expand \( k \) around the other high-symmetry points, e.g., \( M \), which will not influence the discussions below).

\[
H(k) = \begin{pmatrix}
H^c_+(k) & 0 \\
0 & H^c_-(k)
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where

\[
H^c_\pm(k) = \begin{pmatrix}
m_1 - \frac{1}{2}(m_x k_x^2 + m_y k_y^2) & \eta_z \tau_z \pm \lambda_{\text{so}} \eta_z \tau_z - \mu \tau_z \\
\eta_z \tau_z \pm \lambda_{\text{so}} \eta_z \tau_z - \mu \tau_z & \pm \frac{\Delta_1}{2}(k_x^2 - k_y^2) \tau_z
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( m_1 = m_0 + m_x + m_y \).

We first solve edge I of the four edges (see Fig. 1). By expressing \( k_x \) as \(-i \partial_y \) and treating the pairing terms as perturbation (which is valid when the pairing amplitude is relatively small), we can rewrite the Hamiltonian \( H^c_\pm \) as \( H^c_\pm = H^I_\pm + H^2_\pm \).

\[
H^I_\pm(k_x, -i \partial_y) = \left( m_1 + m_y \alpha^2 \right) \eta_z \tau_z \pm \lambda_{\text{so}} \eta_z \tau_z - \mu \tau_z \\
\pm i \lambda_{\text{so}} \eta_y \tau_y \partial_y,
\]

where we have already neglected the insignificant \( k_z \) terms. To obtain the eigenvalue equation \( H^I_\pm \phi_\pm(y) = E_\pm \phi_\pm(y) \), with \( E_\pm = 0 \) under boundary conditions \( \phi_\pm(0) = \phi_\pm(+\infty) = 0 \), we write the solution in the following form:

\[
\phi_\pm(y) = N_\gamma \sin(\alpha y) e^{-\beta y} e^{i k_x y} \chi_\pm,
\]

where the normalization constant \( N_\gamma = 2\sqrt{\beta(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)/\alpha^2} \). The eigenvector \( \chi_\pm \) satisfies \( \eta_1 \chi_\pm = \mp \text{sgn}(m_y) \chi_\pm \). For the sake of simplicity, we assume \( \lambda_{\text{so}} > 0 \) in our discussions unless otherwise specified. Then the effective Hamiltonian for edge I can be obtained in this basis as

\[
H^I_\pm = \int_{0}^{+\infty} \phi_\pm^*(y) H^I_\pm \phi_\pm(y) \; dy.
\]

Therefore, we have

\[
H^I_\pm = \mp \text{sgn}(m_y) \lambda_{\text{so}} k_x \tau_z + \frac{\Delta_1}{2} \left( \alpha_1^2 + \beta_1^2 \right) \tau_x,
\]

where \( \alpha_1^2 + \beta_1^2 = 2(m_1 \pm \lambda_z \pm \mu)/m_y \).

The effective Hamiltonian for edges II, III, and IV can be obtained by the same procedures:

\[
H^{II}_\pm = \mp \text{sgn}(m_x) \lambda_{\text{so}} k_y \tau_z - \frac{\Delta_1}{2} \left( \alpha_2^2 + \beta_2^2 \right) \tau_x,
\]

\[
H^{III}_\pm = \pm \text{sgn}(m_x) \lambda_{\text{so}} k_x \tau_z - \frac{\Delta_1}{2} \left( \alpha_2^2 + \beta_2^2 \right) \tau_x
\]

\[
H^{IV}_\pm = \pm \text{sgn}(m_x) \lambda_{\text{so}} k_y \tau_z - \frac{\Delta_1}{2} \left( \alpha_2^2 + \beta_2^2 \right) \tau_x,
\]

where \( \alpha_2^2 + \beta_2^2 = 2(m_1 \pm \lambda_z \pm \mu)/m_x \).

The first kinetic terms of the effective Hamiltonian in Eqs. (26)–(29) describe the gapless edge states, which are gapped out by the second terms with Dirac mass. Moreover, at the mirror subspace with the Hamiltonians \( H^I_+ \) and \( H^I_- \) (\( i = 1 \sim IV \)), due to mirror-reflection symmetry, the Dirac mass terms change sign along four edges, edges I to IV, resulting from the
$d_x - d_y$ pairing symmetry; that is, any two adjacent edge states have opposite Dirac masses, while the same signs occur for the first kinetic terms along the anticlockwise direction of the edges. As a result, there is one MBS at each corner of a square sample within each mirror subspace of the Hamiltonian (see the Jackiw-Rebbi model [69]), i.e., two MBSs at each corner. By comparing the coefficients of the Dirac mass terms, containing $\alpha_1^2 + \beta_1^2$ and $\alpha_2^2 + \beta_2^2$, for the two adjacent edge states in Eqs. (26)–(29), in order to ensure the existence of Majorana corner states, $m_x$ and $m_y$ should satisfy the relation $m_x m_y > 0$. Note that the BdG system supports two MCSs at each corner in the whole regime of the second-order topological phase considered here due to the mirror-reflection symmetry $\mathcal{M}_z$ for the Hamiltonian $H_{\text{BdG}}(k)$. When this mirror-reflection symmetry is broken, a single MCS at each corner can be achieved (see the Appendix).

B. $s_{\pm}$-wave pairing

Here, we consider the magnetic TI approximated by an $s_{\pm}$ superconducting pairing, which is relevant for iron-based high-temperature superconductors [62–66]. The pairing amplitude of an $s_{\pm}$-wave superconductor satisfies

$$\Delta_x = \Delta_y = \Delta_2. \quad (30)$$

As in the case for a $d$-wave superconductor, we first consider the energy-band spectrum of the system. Figures 5(a) and 5(b) show the energy-band structure of a 2D magnetic TI nanoribbon along the $x$ and $y$ directions, respectively. But, in contrast to the case for hybrid systems of magnetic TI/$d$-wave superconductors, the zero-energy edge states in the $y$ and $x$ directions exist at the $k_x = 0$ and $k_y = \pi$ points for magnetic TI/$s_{\pm}$-wave superconductor hybrid systems when $m_y$ is set to a negative value. In the presence of the $s_{\pm}$ pairing, the edges are gapped out [see the red curves in Figs. 5(c) and 5(d)], where the hybrid system enters the topologically trivial regime. However, similar to the case for a $d$-wave superconductor, each corner of the finite-size sample supports two localized MBSs [see Fig. 5(e)]. Moreover, when $m_y$ is set to have the same sign as $m_x$, there are no MCSs. Note that the system may support a single MCS at each corner when the mirror-reflection symmetry $\mathcal{M}_z$ is broken (see the Appendix).

In order to understand the existence of MCSs with $s_{\pm}$-wave pairing, we also consider the edge theory. In this part, we consider the continuum model of the lattice Hamiltonian by expanding its wave vector $k$ in Eqs. (18) and (19) to second order around the $X = (0, \pi)$ point of the Brillouin zone, obtaining

$$H_c(k) = \begin{pmatrix} H^+_c(k) & 0 \\ 0 & H^-_c(k) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (31)$$

where

$$H^\pm_c(k) = \begin{bmatrix} m_2 - \frac{1}{2}(m_x k_x^2 - m_y k_y^2) & \eta_x \tau_z \pm \lambda_z \eta_z \\
\lambda_x \tilde{\eta}_x \pm \lambda_y \eta_y \tau_z \pm \mu \tau_z + \Delta_0 - \frac{\Delta_z}{2} (k_x^2 - k_y^2) \end{bmatrix} \tau_x, \quad (32)$$

and $m_2 = m_0 + m_x - m_y$.

Then, the effective Hamiltonian for edges I, II, III, and IV can be obtained as

$$\mathcal{H}^I_{\pm} = \pm \text{sgn}(m_x) \lambda_{\text{so}} k_x \tau_z + \left[ \Delta_0 + \Delta_z \left( \alpha_1^2 + \beta_2^2 \right) \right] \tau_x, \quad (33)$$

$$\mathcal{H}^II_{\pm} = \pm \text{sgn}(m_y) \lambda_{\text{so}} k_y \tau_z + \left[ \Delta_0 - \Delta_z \left( \alpha_2^2 + \beta_1^2 \right) \right] \tau_x. \quad (34)$$
Second, within each mirror subspace of the BdG Hamiltonian, in order to ensure the opposite Dirac mass terms for any two gapped adjacent edge states, the following criterion should be satisfied:

\[
\left[ \Delta_0 + \frac{\Delta_2}{2} (\alpha_1^2 + \beta_1^2) \right] \left[ \Delta_0 - \frac{\Delta_2}{2} (\alpha_2^2 + \beta_2^2) \right] < 0.
\] (37)

Therefore, we have

\[
\left[ \frac{\Delta_0}{\Delta_2} \pm \frac{m_x \pm \lambda_z \pm \mu}{m_y} \right] \left[ \frac{\Delta_0}{\Delta_2} - \frac{m_z \pm \lambda_z \pm \mu}{m_x} \right] < 0.\] (38)

Equations (13) and (38) determine the system parameters, including the pairing amplitude and magnetic exchange interaction, required for the existence of MCSs for \( s_\pm \) superconducting pairing. As an example, according to Eqs. (13) and (38), the criterion of \( \Delta_0 / \Delta_2 < 1 \) should be satisfied to ensure the existence of MCSs within each mirror subspace of the Hamiltonian if \( m_0 = -1 \) and \( m_1 = -m_y = -1 \).

Figure 6(a) shows the emergence of MCSs by computing the probability density distribution of the BdG wave functions for the magnetic TI/\( s_\pm \) superconductor hybrid system with the parameters \( \lambda_z, \Delta_0, \Delta_2, m_1, \) and \( m_y \) satisfying all of these criteria. There are then two MBSs localized at each corner. A finite chemical potential \( \mu \) within the limit of Eq. (38) will not destroy the MCSs, as shown in Fig. 6(b).

In terms of topological phase diagram, parts of the phase boundaries are determined by the zero eigenenergy of the BdG Hamiltonian in Eq. (5) at the four corners of the Brillouin zone of a square lattice, i.e., \( \Gamma = (0, 0), X = (0, \pi), Y = (\pi, 0), \) and \( M = (\pi, \pi) \). The energies for a TI/\( s_\pm \) hybrid system at these points are

\[
E_{\Gamma} = \pm \sqrt{(\mu \mp m_0 \mp m_x \mp m_y)^2 + (\Delta_0 + 2\Delta_2)^2} \pm \lambda_z. \] (39)

\[
E_{\mathcal{M}} = \pm \sqrt{(\mu \pm m_0 \mp m_x \pm m_y)^2 + (\Delta_0 - 2\Delta_2)^2} \pm \lambda_z. \] (40)

\[
E_{\mathcal{X}} = \pm \sqrt{(\mu \mp m_0 \pm m_x \mp m_y)^2 + \Delta_0^2} \pm \lambda_z. \] (41)

\[
E_{\mathcal{Y}} = \pm \sqrt{(\mu \mp m_0 \pm m_x \mp m_y)^2 + \Delta_0^2} \pm \lambda_z. \] (42)

In addition, the phase boundaries are simultaneously determined by Eqs. (13) and (38). Figure 7 shows the topological phase diagram of the magnetic TI/\( s_\pm \) high-temperature superconductor hybrid system in the \( (\lambda_z, \Delta_0 / \Delta_2) \) plane. As in the case of \( d \)-wave superconductors, there are three distinct phases: (i) a second-order topological superconductor with MCSs, (ii) chiral MZMs characterized by a finite Chern number \( \mathcal{N} \), and (iii) topologically trivial states \( \mathcal{N} = 0 \) with zero chiral MZMs and MCSs. Recall that \( \Delta_x = \Delta_y = \Delta_z \), as shown in Eq. (30). The parameters are chosen to be \( m_0 = -1, \lambda_{so} = 1, m_x = -m_y = 1, \mu = 0, \) and \( \Delta_2 = 0.4 \).

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

For experimental realizations, we require a magnetic TI in proximity to a high-temperature superconductor. For the magnetic TI, we can consider the recently experimentally...
discovered TIs of 2D transition-metal dichalcogenides (e.g., monolayer WTe$_2$ [70,71]) or IV-VI semiconductors (e.g., monolayer PbS [72,73]), which coat a ferromagnetic insulator. The high-temperature superconductors could be cuprate-based [59–61] or iron-based [64,66] materials, where topological superconductivity has been experimentally reported. It is thus quite attractive to study second-order TSC and possibly observe MCSs in these systems by considering their hybrids. Moreover, the magnetic exchange interaction in magnetic TI is usually highly tunable by external fields, and thus, it is also interesting to study how the exchange interaction influences the features of second-order topological superconductivity.

In conclusion, we investigated the hybrid structure of a magnetic TI and a high-temperature superconductor, which exhibits second-order topological superconductivity. Both $d$-wave and $s_{\pm}$-wave superconducting pairings related to high-temperature superconductors were discussed. The hybrid systems are in the topologically trivial regime but still support MBSs at each corner of a square sample. Because the hybrid systems preserve mirror-reflection symmetry, there are two MBSs at each corner in the whole regime of the second-order topological phase studied here. We derived their corresponding topological phase diagrams, which emphasize the role of magnetic exchange interactions and pairing amplitudes. An intuitive edge argument showed that the corner states result from the opposite Dirac masses of two adjacent edges due to pairing symmetry. In the future, it would be interesting to look for experimental realizations of second-order TSCs and study the possibility of non-Abelian braiding of MCSs in a 2D system.
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**FIG. 8.** (a) BdG energy spectra of a $d_{x^2-y^2}$-wave pairing superconductor with open boundaries along the $x$ and $y$ directions as a function of $\lambda_x$. The red dots denote eightfold-degenerate MCSs, and the black dots represent the fourfold-degenerate MCSs. The probability density distributions of mid-gap states for (b) $\lambda_x = 0.3$ and (c) $\lambda_x = 0.5$. The inset shows the eigenenergies with energies around zero. The parameters are chosen to be $m_0 = -0.8$, $\lambda_z = 0.4$, $\lambda_\omega = 1$, $m_x = m_y = 1$, $\mu = 0$, and $\Delta_1 = 0.5$.

**FIG. 9.** (a) BdG energy spectra of an $s_{\pm}$-wave pairing superconductor with open boundaries along the $x$ and $y$ directions as a function of $\lambda_x$. The red dots denote eightfold-degenerate MCSs, and the black dots represent the fourfold-degenerate MCSs. The probability density distributions of mid-gap states for (b) $\lambda_x = 0.2$ and (c) $\lambda_x = 0.5$. The inset shows the eigenenergies with energies around zero. The parameters are chosen to be $m_0 = -0.8$, $\lambda_z = 0.4$, $\lambda_\omega = 1$, $m_x = -m_y = 1$, $\mu = 0$, $\Delta_0 = 0$, and $\Delta_2 = 0.4$. 
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APPENDIX: BROKEN MIRROR-REFLECTION SYMMETRY

The Hamiltonian $H_{\text{BdG}}(k)$ in Eq. (5) respects the mirror-reflection symmetry $\mathcal{M}_f$. Therefore, there are two MCSs at each corner in the whole regime of the second-order topological phase considered here. When this mirror-reflection symmetry is broken, a single MCS at each corner may be achieved for both $d$-wave and $s_\pm$-wave superconducting hybrid systems.

Let us now break the mirror-reflection symmetry by adding the term $\lambda_s s_z$ to Eq. (5), so the Hamiltonian becomes

$$H_{\text{BdG}}(k) = m(k)\sigma_z \tau_z + \lambda_{so} \sin(k_x) s_x + \sin(k_y) s_y \sigma_x \tau_z + \lambda_s s_z - \mu \tau_z + \Delta(k) \tau_y + \lambda_x \tau_x. \quad (A1)$$

In the presence of the $\lambda_s s_z$ term, the mirror-reflection symmetry is broken. Figure 8(a) shows the BdG energy spectrum of a $d_{x^2-y^2}$-wave pairing superconducting hybrid system with open boundaries along the $x$ and $y$ directions as a function of $\lambda_x$. The eightfold-degenerate MCSs exist [see red dots in Fig. 8(a) and probability density distributions in Fig. 8(c)] when $\lambda_x$ is small, while there are only fourfold-degenerate MCSs [see black dots in Fig. 8(b) and probability density distributions Fig. 8(c)] as $\lambda_x$ increases, where the second-order topological phase transition occurs. Therefore, a single MCS appears when the mirror reflection is broken with an appropriate magnitude of $\lambda_x$.

As in the case for a $d$-wave superconductor, for a hybrid system with an $s_\pm$-wave pairing superconducting hybrid system, a single MCS at each corner can exist when the mirror-reflection symmetry is broken in the presence of the $\lambda_s s_z$ term with an appropriate magnitude (see Fig. 9). The effect of the breakdown of mirror-reflection symmetry on the MCSs in both $d$-wave and $s_\pm$-wave superconducting hybrid systems can be interpreted by considering the effective edge Hamiltonians derived from the Hamiltonian $H_{\text{BdG}}(k)$ in Eq. (A1), as treated based on the block-diagonal Hamiltonian [see Eqs. (18)–(29) and (31)–(38)].
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