Disorder-induced mutation of quasi-normal modes in 1D open systems
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Abstract—We study the relation between quasi-normal modes (QNMs) and transmission resonances (TRs) in one-dimensional (1D) disordered systems. We show that while each maximum in the transmission coefficient is always related to a QNM, the reverse statement is not necessarily correct. There exists an intermediate state, where only part of the QNMs are localized and provide a resonant transmission. The rest of QNMs (strange modes) are not localized and not associated with any anomalies in the transmission. The ratio of the number of the normal QNMs to the total number of QNMs is independent of the type of disorder, and varies slightly in rather wide ranges of the strength of a single scattering and the length of the random sample.

Wave processes in open systems can be described in terms of quasi-normal modes (QNMs), which are a generalization of the notion of normal modes for closed systems, to open structures, [1]–[9]. The corresponding eigenfrequencies are complex, so that the imaginary parts characterize the lifetime of the quasi-normal states. Regarding the transmission of radiation through random media, it is more appropriate to use an alternative approach based on transmission resonances.

It is now universally accepted that in open systems each maximum in the transmission coefficient is associated with a QNM, so that the resonant frequency is close to the real part of the corresponding eigenvalue. However, the connection between QNMs and TRs is not that simple and, despite extensive research and much recent progress, still needs a better understanding and justification, at least for disordered systems.

It is instructive to look for insights the 1D limit because its spectral and transport properties are better understood. It is well-known [17] that the transmission of a long enough 1D disordered system is typically exponentially small. At the same time, there exists a set of frequencies where the transmission coefficient has local maxima, some of them close to one. Each resonance is always associated with a QNM determined in a standard way as a solution with outgoing boundary conditions. The reverse statement, that each QNM manifests itself as a transmission resonance, although never has been questioned, is usually taken as obvious and self-evident, perhaps because it is always the case in all regular (homogeneous or periodic) quantum-mechanical and optical open structures.

Here we show, both numerically and analytically, that in 1D disordered systems there exist two types of QNMs: ordinary QNMs, that provide resonance transmission peaks, and “strange” QNMs unrelated to any anomalies in the transmission spectrum. These strange modes exist exclusively due to random scatterings and arise already in the ballistic regime with weak disorder. The imaginary part of the strange QNMs eigenfrequencies vary with increasing disorder in a highly unusual manner. Indeed, typically, the stronger the disorder is, the more confined the system becomes, which implies that the eigenfrequencies should approach the real axis. However, the imaginary part of a strange mode’s eigenfrequency either increases from the onset of disorder, or goes down anomalously slowly. Most surprisingly, up to rather strong disorder, the average ratio of the density (in the frequency domain) of strange modes to the total density of QNMs, being independent of the type of disorder, remains close to the constant $\sqrt{2/5}$ in wide ranges of the strength of disorder and of the total length of the system. As the disorder keeps growing, eventually all strange quasimodes turn normal. Therefore these results can be interpreted as a manifestation of the existence (in 1D random systems, at least) of an intermediate regime, at which in any finite-frequency interval, only a part of the quasimodes are localized and provide resonant transmission.

We consider a generic 1D system composed of $N + 1$ scatterers separated by $N$ intervals and attached to two semi-infinite leads. Two problems are associated with such systems. The first one is finding solutions $\psi(x,t)$ of the wave equation satisfying the outgoing boundary conditions. The eigenfunction solution $\psi_n(x,t)$ of this problem is the superposition of two counter-propagating monochromatic waves $\psi_n(x) = (a_n^\Dagger e^{-i\omega_n t} + a_n^\Dagger e^{i\omega_n t})$. In any $j$th layer $\psi_n^{(j)}(x) = a_n^\Dagger e^{i\omega_n t}$. The amplitudes $a_n^\Dagger$ in adjacent layers are connected by a transfer matrix. The wave numbers $k_n$ are complex-valued and form the discrete set $k_n^{(mod)} = k_n^0 - ik_n^\prime > 0$, and frequencies $\omega_n^{(mod)} = ck_n$. The corresponding eigenfunctions are the so-
called QNMs. Note that all distances hereafter are measured in optical lengths. The second problem is the transmission of an incident wave through the system. The set of wave numbers and corresponding fields inside the system for which the transmission coefficient reaches its local maximum are the so-called TRs. Evidently these two problems are interrelated. The goal of this paper is to establish the relation between the spectra and wave functions of QNMs and TRs.

In what follows, the scatterers and the distances between them are characterized by the reflection coefficients \( r_i \equiv r_0 + \delta r_i \) and lengths \( d_i \equiv d_0 + \delta d_i \), respectively. The random values \( \delta r_i \) and \( \delta d_i \) are distributed in certain intervals, and \( \langle \delta r_i \rangle = 0 \) and \( \langle \delta d_i \rangle = 0 \). Here, \( \langle \ldots \rangle \) stands for the value averaged over the sample. The last condition means that the total length \( L \) of the system is equal to \( Nd_0 \) and therefore any random realization with the same \( N \) contains the same number of QNMs.

To explicitly introduce the tunable strength \( s \) of disorder, we replace all reflection coefficients, except for those at the left, \( r_L \), and right, \( r_R \), edges of the system by \( sr_i \), and assume that the coefficients \( r_i \) are homogeneously distributed in the interval \((-1, 1)\). This notation enables keeping track of the evolution of the QNM eigenvalues \( k_n^{(\text{mod})} \) and of the resonant wave vectors \( k_n^{(\text{res})} \) when the disorder increases from zero \( (s = 0) \) while the reflection coefficients \( r_L \) and \( r_R \) at the semitransparent boundaries remain constant.

When \( s = 0 \), (i.e., no disorder) the real and imaginary parts of the QNM eigenvalues \( k_n^{(\text{mod})} \) are

\[
\begin{align*}
k_n' &= \frac{1}{2L} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\pi + 2\pi n, & \text{when } r_Lr_R > 0, \\
2\pi n, & \text{when } r_Lr_R < 0,
\end{array} \right. \\
k_n'' &= -\frac{1}{2L} \ln |r_Lr_R|,
\end{align*}
\]

The wave intensity, defined as \( I_{n,j} = |\psi_{n,j}^{(+)}|^2 + |\psi_{n,j}^{(-)}|^2 \) is distributed along the system as \( I_n(x_j) \sim \cos^2[k''(x_j - x^n)] \), where \( x^n = L[1 - \ln(|r_Lr_R|)/\ln(|r_Lr_R|)]/2 \). When \( |r_L| = |r_R| \), the minimum of the intensity is located at the centre of the system, and in an asymmetric case shifts to the boundary with a higher reflection coefficient.

When \( s = 0 \) the wave numbers \( k_n^{(\text{res})} \) of the transmission resonances coincide with the real parts \( k_n' \), given by Eq. (1). Thus, in the homogeneous resonator, there is a one-to-one correspondence between QNMs and TRs. The same correlation exists also in periodic systems (periodic sets \( r_i \) and \( d_i \) ) \([19]\).

The question now is whether this relationship survives in the disordered system, when \( s \neq 0 \). There is strong evidence that for every resonance there is a corresponding QNM. However, as we show below, the reverse statement is not valid: there are certain QNMs which cannot be associated with any resonance.

Figure 1 shows the evolution of the eigennumbers \( k_n^{(\text{mod})} \) in the complex plane \((k', k'')\) as \( s \) grows. Initially, when \( s = 0 \), all eigennumbers are equidistantly located on the line \( k'' = \text{const} \), in agreement with Eqs. (1, 2). As soon as disorder arises \( (s \neq 0) \) and increases, the eigenvalues separate into two essentially different types. Indeed, with \( s \) increasing, the points \#1-3,5,7,8,10,12,13 in Fig. 1 move towards the real axis \( (k'' \rightarrow 0) \) decrease with approximately the same “velocity” (ordinary QNMs). The rest of the points (strange QNMs) either shift down substantially more slowly \((#0,6,9)\) or, even more surprisingly, move away from the real axis (points 4 and 11). The latest modes are highly unusual because disorder makes them more leaky. This is quite the opposite to the hitherto observed and well understood increase of the lifetime of the eigenstates due to multiple scattering.

The difference between the ordinary and strange QNMs goes beyond the evolution of the eigenvalues and manifests itself also in the spatial distribution of the QNM intensity inside the system. The spatial distributions along the system of the intensities \( I_{5,j}^{(s)} \) and \( I_{6,j}^{(s)} \) of QNMs \#5 and 6 are presented in Fig 2, for different values of the disorder strength \( s \). The dashed black curve corresponds to a homogeneous resonator \((s = 0, r_L = -r_R = 0.005)\). The resonance intensity distribution for \( s = 0.3 \) is shown by circles.
as the growth of the effective reflection coefficients $r_L$ and $r_R$, which agrees well with the statement that the wave lifetime increases when disorder becomes stronger. For larger $s$, $I_{s,j}$ tends to manifest the behaviour typical for QNM in the localized regime. In contrast, the intensity evolution of QNM #6 is similar to that in the homogeneous resonator, whose localized regime. In other words, the values $s (r_s)$ becomes of the order of $r_L R$, which is independent on the degree of disorder.

We also consider the propagation of a monochromatic wave through the same system. When $s = 0$, the number of resonances $N_{res}$ is equal to the number of QNMs, $N_{mod}$, and all $k_{n}^{(res)}(s)$ coincide with the real parts $k_n$ of QNMs. When disorder is introduced, $s \neq 0$, each $k_{n}^{(res)}(s)$ remains close to the $k_n$ of the corresponding ordinary QNM: $k_{n}^{(res)}(s) \approx k_n(s)$. The spatial intensity distributions of QNMs #5 and of the corresponding TR are also similar, up to small details (see Fig. 2).

However, the transmission resonances whose frequencies at $s = 0$ are equal to the real parts of the eigenvalues of the strange QNMs, disappear when the mean value of the reflection coefficients $s (r_s)$ becomes of the order of $r_L R$. Figure 3 demonstrates this behavior.

Thus, any TR has its partner among QNMs, but the reverse is not true: there are strange QNMs that are not associated with any maxima in the transmission, as it is shown in Fig. 4, and therefore do not have co-partners between resonances. In other words, in a given wave number interval $\Delta k$, the statistically-averaged number of TRs, $N_{res}$, is smaller than the statistically-averaged number of QNMs, $N_{mod} = \Delta k L / \pi$, and does not depend on the degree of disorder. This fact was noticed in the numerical calculations in [16].

Surprisingly, when $s \to 0$, the ratio $N_{res}/N_{mod}$ is a universal constant $\sqrt{2/5}$, independent of the type of disorder, and remains practically independent on the degree of disorder and the length $L$ of the system in a rather broad range of these parameters.

Figure 5 shows the ratio $N_{res}/N_{mod}$ as a function of $s$, statistically averaged over $10^5$ random realizations and normalized by $\sqrt{2/5}$, for various lengths $L$ in the case $r_{L,R} = 0$. It is important to note that the localization length (measured in numbers of layers) $N_{loc} \propto s^{-2}$, and this is less than 20 for $s = 0.3$. This means that $N_{res}/N_{mod} \approx \sqrt{2/5}$ even when the system dimension exceeds considerably the localization length.

Figure 3 shows that the difference between $N_{res}$ and $N_{mod}$ appears when $s$ is very small so that $N_{loc} \gg N$, and remains practically unchanged even when $s$ is rather large so that $N_{loc} \ll N$. This means that the origin of this phenomenon is not specifically related to localization and can be studied when $s$ is arbitrarily small.

To calculate the average number of TRs in the limit $s \ll 1$, we use the single-scattering approximation and write the total reflection coefficient $r(k)$ of the whole system as:

$$r(k) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} r_n e^{2ikx_n},$$

where $x_n$ is the coordinate of the $n$-th scatterer. The values $k_{max}$, where the transmission coefficient, $T(k) = 1 - |r(k)|^2$, has local maxima, are defined as the zeros of the function $f(k) \equiv d|r(k)|^2/dk = 2Re[r(k) dr^*(k)/dk]$:

$$f(k_{max}) = 4\text{Im}\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} r_n r_m x_n e^{2ik_{max}(x_n-x_m)} = 0.$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

Assuming first that $\delta l_0 = 0$, then $f(k)$ becomes

$$f(k) \cong \sum_{n=1}^{N} 8\sin(2kld_0) \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{N} r_n + \sum_{n=1}^{N} r_n r_m e^{2ik_{max}(x_n-x_m)} \right\} = 0.$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)
Eq. (5) is the trigonometric sum $\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n \sin(\nu k)$ with “frequencies” $\nu = 2l d_0$ and random coefficients $a_n$. The statistics of the zeroes of random polynomials have been studied in [18], where it is shown that the statistically-averaged number of real roots $N_{\text{root}}$ of the sum of this type at a certain interval $\Delta k$ is

$$N_{\text{root}} = \frac{\Delta k}{\pi} \left( \sum \nu_i^2 \sigma_i^2 \right) \sqrt{\sum \sigma_i^2},$$

(6)

where $\sigma_i^2 = \text{Var}(a_i)$ is the variance of the coefficients $a_L = \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} r_{n+1} r_n + \sum_{n=1}^{N} r_{n-1} r_n l$. When $N \gg 1$,

$$\text{Var}(a_i) \approx 2(N - l)^2 \sigma_0^4,$$

(7)

where $\sigma_0^2 = \text{Var}(r)$. The sums in Eq. (6) can be calculated using Eq. (7), which yields [20]:

$$N_{\text{root}} = \frac{2\Delta k N d_0}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} = \frac{2\Delta k L}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}},$$

(8)

where $L = N d_0$. Since the number of minima of the reflection coefficient is equal to the number of TRs, $N_{\text{res}} = N_{\text{root}}/2$, and the number $N_{\text{root}}$ of QNMs in the same interval $\Delta k$ is $N_{\text{res}} = \Delta k L/\pi$, from Eq. 8 it follows that

$$N_{\text{res}}/N_{\text{root}} = \sqrt{2/5}.$$  

(9)

This analytically-calculated relation agrees perfectly with the results of numerical calculations performed without assuming any periodicity of the scatterers. To calculate this ratio for more general situations, when the distances between the scatterers are also random ($\delta d \neq 0$), the frequencies $\nu = 2l d_0$ in Eq. (5) should be replaced by $\nu = 2|x_m - x_{m+k}|$. Since the main contribution to the sums in Eq. (6) is given by the terms with large $l \sim N$, the mean value of $|x_m - x_{m+k}|$ can be replaced by $l d_0$, in the case of a homogeneous distribution of the distances $d_n$ along the system. This ultimately leads to the same result Eq. (9).

In summary, it is well known that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the QNMs of a regular open system and its transmission resonances: each QNM is unambiguously associated with a TR, and vice versa. In this paper, we show that in 1D random structures, this reciprocity is broken: any weak disorder mutates part of the eigenstates so that the corresponding resonances in the transmission disappear and the density of TR becomes smaller than the total density of states. It is significant that while the strange modes do not show up in the amplitude of the transmission coefficient, in the phase of the transmitted field they manifest themselves in just the same way as the ordinary modes do. When the disorder is weak (but strong enough to localize the ordinary modes), the ratio of the number of TRs to the total number of QNMs in a frequency interval $\Delta \omega \to \infty$ is independent of the type of disorder and anomalously weakly deviates from a universal constant, $\sqrt{2/5}$, when the strength of disorder and the length of the random sample increase. If the strength $s$ of disorder grows, ultimately all strange quasimodes become ordinary. This means that in 1D random systems there exists an intermediate, so far unknown regime, at which in any finite-frequency interval, only a part of the quasimodes are localized and provide resonant transmission.
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