Resonance effects due to the excitation of surface Josephson plasma waves in layered superconductors
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We analytically examine the excitation of surface Josephson plasma waves (SJPWs) in layered superconductors by an incident electromagnetic wave. The mechanism of excitation is related to the diffraction of the incident wave due to a small periodic modulation in the ab plane of the maximum c-axis Josephson current density. We show that the absorption of the incident wave can be substantially increased, for certain incident angles, due to the resonance excitation of SJPWs. The absorption increase is accompanied by a decrease in the specular reflection. Moreover, we find the dependence of the resonance value of the incident angle on the parameters of the superconductor and the frequency of the incident wave, and the depth of the modulations guaranteeing the total absorption (and total suppression of the specular reflection). Numerical examples of the resonance effects are presented for Bi2212 superconductors.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Over the last 20 years, the physical properties of layered superconductors have attracted the attention of many research groups. The strongly anisotropic high-temperature Bi2Sr2CaCu2O8+δ single crystals are the most prominent members of this family. Numerous experiments on the c-axis transport in layered high- Tc superconductors justify the use of a model in which the superconducting CuO2 layers are coupled, through the block layers, by the intrinsic Josephson effect. The Josephson current flowing along the c axis is coupled with the electromagnetic field inside the insulating dielectric layers, thereby causing a specific kind of elementary excitations called Josephson plasma waves (JPWs) (see, e.g., Ref. 1). In other words, the layered structure of Bi-based superconductors and related compounds favors the propagation of electromagnetic waves through the layers. These waves are of considerable interest because of their terahertz (THz) and sub-THz frequency ranges, which are still hardly reachable for both electronic and optical devices. The frequencies of terahertz waves are in the region of resonance frequencies of molecules and are expected to have many applications.

The unusual optical properties of layered superconductors, including their reflectivity and transmissivity, caused by the JPWs excitation, were studied, e.g., in Ref. 2. Earlier works on this problem have focused on the propagation of bulk waves, that is possible in the frequency range above the Josephson plasma frequency ωJ, at ω > ωJ, only. The presence of the sample boundary can produce a new branch of the wave spectrum below the Josephson plasma frequency, ω < ωJ, i.e., surface Josephson plasma waves (SJPWs), which are an analog of the surface-plasmon polaritons.4 Recently, the existence of SJPWs in layered superconductors in the THz frequency range was predicted.5–7 Surface waves play an important role in many fundamental resonance optics phenomena,8 such as the Wood’s anomalies in reflectivity4,9 and transmissivity10,11 of periodically corrugated metal samples. A recent overview of unusual resonators can be found in Ref. 8. Therefore, it is essential to study similar resonance phenomena caused by the excitation of surface waves in layered superconductors.

The dispersion curve, ω(q), of the surface waves lies below the “vacuum light line,” ω=cq, where q is the wave number and c is the speed of light. This means that the surface waves have wave vectors greater than the wave vectors of light of the same frequency in vacuum. Thus, to excite the surface waves by means of incident irradiation, it is necessary to use special methods,4 such as, e.g., the attenuated total reflection method or the surface modulation method.

In this paper, we study the excitation of surface Josephson plasma waves while diffracting an electromagnetic wave incident onto a periodically modulated layered superconductor. We assume that the maximum c-axis Josephson current density, Jc., has small periodic modulations in the ab plane. In this case, the wave vectors of one (or simultaneously two) diffracted waves can coincide with the wave vector of the SJPW for a definite resonance value of the incidence angle and the excitation of SJPWs occurs under such conditions. For simplicity, we present results for single-resonance cases, when only one SJPW is excited. The excitation of SJPWs affects the resonance absorption and reflection of the incident electromagnetic waves. We calculate analytically the dependence of the absorption and reflection on the frequency ω and the incident angle θ using resonant perturbation theory. Within this theory, the amplitudes of all diffracted waves, except the amplitude of the excited SJPW, are assumed to be small due to the small modulations in Jc. Under the resonance conditions, the amplitude of the excited SJPW can be very large, even though the Jc modulation is small. We show
plane coincides with the $xy$ plane and the $c$ axis is directed along the $z$ axis. Superconducting layers are numbered by an integer $l\geq 1$.

Suppose that the maximum $c$-axis Josephson current density, $J_c$, is periodically modulated in the $x$ direction with a spatial period $L$. Such a modulation can be realized, for instance, either by irradiating a standard $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_8+\delta$ sample covered by a modulated mask. Another way to modulate the value of $J_c$ is applying an out-of-plane magnetic field. In this case, according to, e.g., Ref. 13, the pancake vortices appear in the sample. The period of vortex lattice and, thus, the period of modulations are controlled by the out-of-plane magnetic field.

A $p$-polarized (transverse magnetic) plane monochromatic electromagnetic wave with electric, $E=\{E_x,0,E_z\}$, and magnetic, $H=\{0,H,0\}$, fields is incident onto a periodically modulated layered superconductor at an angle $\theta$ from the vacuum half space. The in-plane and out-of-plane components of its wave vector are

$$k_x=q=q \sin \theta, \quad k_z=-k \cos \theta, \quad k=\omega/c.$$

The in-plane periodic modulation results in generating the diffracted waves with in-plane and out-of-plane wave-vector components,$\quad q_n=q+ng, \quad k_{zn}^y=\sqrt{k^2-q_n^2}, \quad \text{Re}[k_{zn}^y], \quad \text{Im}[k_{zn}^y] \geq 0,$n$

where $n$ is an integer and $g=2\pi/L$. The resonance excitation of the SJPWs corresponds to the condition,

$$q_n=k \sin \theta+ng=\text{sign}(n)\text{Re}[\kappa_{sw}(\omega)], \quad (1)$$

where $\kappa_{sw}(\omega)>k$ is the SJPW wave number,\footnote{\textsuperscript{7}}

$$\kappa_{sw}^2(\omega)=k^2\left[1-\frac{k^2\lambda_{ab}^2\Omega^2}{\varepsilon(1-\Omega^2-i\nu\Omega)}\right]^{-1}. \quad (2)$$

The total magnetic field in the vacuum ($z>0$) is given by the Fourier-Floquet expansion,

$$H^y(x,z)=H^{\text{inc}}\left[\exp(irq_x-ik_z\cos \theta)\right.$$

$$\left.\sum_n R_n \exp(irq_x+ik_{zn}^y z)\right], \quad (3)$$

where $H^{\text{inc}}$ is the amplitude of the incident wave and $R_n$ are the transformation coefficients (TCs). The time dependence $\exp(-i\omega t)$ is omitted hereafter.

Using Maxwell equations, we express the tangential component of the electric field in the vacuum in terms of the magnetic field,

$$E^{\text{inc}}_y(x,z)=H^{\text{inc}}\left[-\beta^y \exp(irq_x-ik_z\cos \theta)\right.$$

$$\left.\sum_n \beta_n^y R_n \exp(irq_x+ik_{zn}^y z)\right], \quad (4)$$

where $\beta^y=\cos \theta$ and $\beta_n^y=k_{zn}^y/k$.

The electromagnetic field within the layered superconductor is related to the gauge-invariant phase difference, $\varphi_{jl}$, of the order parameter. The values of $\varphi_{jl}$ in the junctions are governed by a set of coupled sine-Gordon equations. To the
best of our knowledge, Sakai et al.\textsuperscript{14} were the first who derived the set of coupled sine-Gordon equations for the phenomenological description of the electrodynamics of layered superconductors. Later on, many authors (see, e.g., Refs. 15 and 16) rederived the coupled sine-Gordon equations using other approaches. Reference 17 presented a microscopic theory of the superconducting phase and charge dynamics in intrinsic Josephson-junction systems based on a BCS functional-integral formalism. Under some conditions, their theory also rederives the coupled sine-Gordon equations. These equations are applicable to cuprates because they have the same structure for superconductors with $d$-wave pairing (see Ref. 16) and allow the incorporation of $d$-wave specifics via material parameters, such as quasiparticle conductivities.

For the case when the Josephson plasma frequency $\omega_J$ is modulated in the $ab$ plane, the coupled sine-Gordon equations can be written in the form,

\[
\left(1 - \frac{\lambda_{ab}^2}{D^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\right)\left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi_i}{\partial t^2} + \omega_r \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial t} + \omega_j^2(x) \sin \phi_i \right) - \frac{c^2}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial^2 \phi_i}{\partial x^2} = 0.
\]

(5)

Here $\lambda_{ab}$ is the London penetration depth across the layers, $D$ is the spatial period of the layered structure, the discrete second derivative operator $\partial^2$ is defined as $\partial^2 f_i = f_{i+1} + f_{i-1} - 2f_i$, and $\omega_r = 4\pi\sigma_r/\epsilon$ is the relaxation frequency, $\sigma_r$ is the quasiparticle conductivity across the layers,

\[
\omega_j(x) = \sqrt{\frac{8\pi e D \lambda_s}{\hbar \epsilon}}
\]

(6)

is the periodically modulated Josephson plasma frequency, and $\epsilon$ is the interlayer dielectric constant. The Fourier expansion of $\omega_j^2(x)$ is

\[
\omega_j^2(x) = \omega_0^2\left[1 + \sum_{n \neq 0} f_n \exp(\text{in} x)\right], \quad f_n = f_n^*.
\]

(7)

Below we assume the modulation to be small, $|f_n| \ll 1$.

As was shown in Ref. 18, the intralayer quasiparticle conductivity, $\sigma_{ab}$, should also be taken into account if $\omega_J$ is far enough from the Josephson plasma frequency. The contribution of the in-plane conductivity to the dissipation can be easily incorporated in our analysis. However, for the frequency range considered here (close to $\omega_J$), this contribution is strongly suppressed and can be safely omitted because the relative value of the term with $\sigma_{ab}$ is

\[
\frac{\lambda_{ab}^2}{\lambda_s^2} \left(\frac{\sigma_{ab}}{\sigma_r}\right) \left|1 - \frac{\omega_s}{\omega_J}\right| \ll 1.
\]

Here $\lambda_s = c/(\omega_J \epsilon)$ is the London penetration depth along the layers.

For the Josephson plasma waves, the nonlinear Eq. (5) can be linearized, i.e., $\sin \phi_i$ can be replaced by $\phi_i$. We also assume that the gauge-invariant phase difference experiences small changes, $|\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i| \ll |\phi_i|$, and thus we can use the continuum approach, replacing $D^{-1} \partial \phi_i$ by $\partial \phi_i/\partial x$. Then Eq. (5) yields

\[
\left(1 - \lambda_{ab}^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\right)\left[\omega_j^2(x) - \omega^2 - i\omega_0 \phi - \frac{c^2}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2}\right] = 0.
\]

(8)

The magnetic and electric fields are related to the gauge-invariant phase difference as

\[
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} = \frac{2\pi D}{\Phi_0} \left(1 - \lambda_{ab}^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\right) H,
\]

\[
E_x = -ik\lambda_{ab}^2 \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}, \quad E_z = ik\frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi D} \phi,
\]

(9)

(10)

where $\Phi_0 = \pi \hbar c/\epsilon$ is the flux quantum and $\epsilon$ is the elementary charge.

Note that the component $E_z$ of the electric field induces a charge in the superconducting layers when the charge compressibility is finite. This results in an additional interlayer coupling (so-called capacitive coupling). Such a coupling significantly affects the properties of the longitudinal Josephson plasma waves with the wave vector perpendicular to the layers. The dispersion equation for the linear Josephson plasma waves with arbitrary direction of wave vector, and taking into account capacitive coupling, was obtained in Ref. 2. According to this dispersion equation, the capacitive coupling can be safely neglected in our case when the wave vector has a component $q \approx \omega_J / c$ along the layers due to the smallness of the parameter $q^2 \approx R_D^2/sD$. Here $R_D$ is the Debye length for a charge in a superconductor and $s$ is the thickness of the superconducting layers.

### III. DIFFRACTION OF THE INCIDENT ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD

Inside the layered superconductor, we represent the gauge-invariant phase difference and the electromagnetic field as expansions over the eigenfunctions,

\[
\phi(x,z) = H^{\text{inc}} \sum_s \tilde{C}_s \tilde{\Psi}_s(x) \exp(p_z c),
\]

(11)

\[
H(x,z) = H^{\text{inc}} \sum_s C_s \Psi_s(x) \exp(p_z c),
\]

(12)

\[
E_z(x,z) = -H^{\text{inc}} \sum_s a_s \Psi_s(x) \exp(p_z c)
\]

(13)

with

\[
\tilde{\Psi}_s(x) = \sum_n \tilde{\Psi}_{sn} \exp(iq_n x), \quad \Psi_s(x) = \sum_n \Psi_{sn} \exp(iq_n x).
\]

(14)

Here we introduce the dimensionless variable $a_s = -ik\lambda_{ab}^2p_z$.

Substituting the expressions (11)–(14) in Eqs. (8)–(10) gives a set of linear equations which allows us to find the coeffi-
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and the subset of nonresonance equations (with “nonresonance numbers” \( N \neq r \)). Solving the subset for the nonresonance coefficients \( B_N \) we obtain
where
We now examine the solution Eqs. (25) and (26) in the main approximation, i.e., taking into account the linear-in-\( \tau \) term in \( F_r \),
and quadratic-in-\( \tau \) terms in \( \tilde{D}_{\mu r} \),
In this approximation we keep only the zero-order term in the series expansion of \( \hat{M}^{-1} = [\delta_{N,0}/b_N] \). Thus, we obtain
\[
q_r = k \sin \theta + rg = \text{sign}(r) \text{Re}[\kappa_{sw}].
\] (23)
Here \( r > 0 (r < 0) \) corresponds to the forward (backward) propagation of the excited SJPW with respect to the incident wave.
For simplicity, we restrict ourselves to the single-resonance case. In the resonant case, the diagonal matrix element \( D_{rr} = b_r \) becomes anomalously small and the determinant of the matrix \( \hat{D} \) decreases significantly (see, e.g., Ref. 19). Recall that the normalized \( z \) component of the wave vectors in vacuum, \( \beta_z^0 \), can be either purely real or purely imaginary. Therefore, the minimum of \( |b_r| \ll 1 \) holds in the vicinity of the point in the \( (\omega, \theta) \) plane where \( \text{Im}[\beta_z^0] = -\text{Im}[a_r] \), which is the dispersion relation for SJPWs, Eq. (2).
Thus, the set of equations Eq. (18) consists of one resonance equation (with \( n = r \)),
\[
D_{rr} B_r + \sum_{N \neq r} D_{rN} B_N = 0,
\] (24)
and the subset of nonresonance equations (with “nonresonance numbers” \( N \neq r \)). Solving the subset for the nonresonance coefficients \( B_N \) we obtain
\[
B_N = 2 \beta^N (\hat{M}^{-1})_{N|0} - B_r \sum_{N'} (\hat{M}^{-1})_{N'N} D_{N'|r},
\] (25)
where \( \hat{M}^{-1} \) is the matrix inverse of the nonresonance square submatrix \( \hat{M} = [D_{NN}] \). Substituting \( B_N \) in Eq. (24) we obtain
\[
B_r = \frac{F_r}{\tilde{D}_{rr}},
\] (26)
where
\[
\tilde{D}_{rr} = D_{rr} - \sum_{N,N'} D_{rN} (\hat{M}^{-1})_{N'N} D_{N'|r},
\] (27)
\[
F_r = -2 \beta^r \sum_{N} D_{rN} (\hat{M}^{-1})_{N|0}.
\] (28)
To solve the infinite set of Eq. (18) for \( C_r \) we use resonant perturbation theory, which allows presenting results in an explicit analytical form.\(^{19}\) When all spatial field harmonics are far away from the eigenmodes of the unmodulated layered superconductor (nonresonance conditions), the diagonal elements \( b_r \) of the matrix \( \hat{D} = [D_{n|n}] \) are on the order of 1 or larger, \( |b_r| \sim |\beta_z^r| \gg 1 \). In this case, the matrix \( \hat{D} \) is diagonal dominated, that is, its off-diagonal elements are small compared to the diagonal ones, \( |d_{n|l}| \sim |\tau_{n-l}| \ll |b_r| \). Then, the solution of Eqs. (18) and (19) gives us a trivial result: the specular reflection TC, \( R_0 \), is close to the Fresnel coefficient,
\[
q_r = k \sin \theta + rg = \text{sign}(r) \text{Re}[\kappa_{sw}].
\] (23)
and differs from it by terms proportional to \( \tau^2 \). Other TCs are small, \( R_n \sim \tau_n, n \neq 0 \).
A much more interesting case occurs under the resonance conditions when Eq. (1) holds for one (or simultaneously for two) spatial field (resonance) harmonics,
Finally, using Eqs. (16) and (19) we derive the resonance, \( R_r \), and nonresonance, \( R_N \), transformation coefficients,

\[
R_r = B_r, \quad R_N = B_r \delta_{N,0} + R_r \left( \Psi_{in} - \frac{d_N}{b_N} \right).
\]

(33)

It is convenient to present the resonance TC, \( R_r \), in the form

\[
R_r = \frac{F_r}{\beta^2 + a_r + C_r(\theta, \Omega, \tau)},
\]

(34)

where \( C_r = C_r(\theta, \Omega, \tau) \) is the parameter that describes the coupling between waves in the vacuum and the layered superconductor. Below we assume the coupling parameter \( C_r \) to be small. However, even when \( |C_r| \ll 1 \), the coupling of the waves in the vacuum and superconductor plays a very important role in the excitation of SJPWs and in the anomalies of the reflection properties (Wood’s anomalies).

First, the dispersion relation of the surface Josephson plasma waves is modified, involving the radiation leakage in the vacuum. The new spectrum of the SJPWs is defined by equating the denominator in Eq. (34) to zero. Thus, the quadratic in the modulation term, \( C_r \), is responsible for the shift of the position of the resonance, \( \text{Im} \{ C_r \} \), and its widening, \( \text{Re} \{ C_r \} \). The region where the coupling \( |C_r| \ll 1 \) (when the radiation leakage of the excited SJPW does not dominate) corresponds to the strongest excitation of the surface waves by the incident waves.

Second, due to the coupling, the specular reflection coefficient, \( R_0 \), in Eq. (33) differs from the Fresnel coefficient, \( R_F \), and its modulus becomes less than one. Moreover, as we show below, the reflection of waves with any given frequency \( \omega < \omega_J \) can be totally suppressed for the specific incident angle \( \theta \) and the modulation magnitude. This provides a way to control and filter the THz radiation.

In Sec. IV, we study in detail the strong effects in the excitation of the SJPWs, the enhancement of absorptivity, and the suppression of the specular reflectivity near the resonance.

IV. SUPPRESSION OF THE SPECULAR REFLECTION

The transformation coefficient \( R_0 \) for the specularly reflected wave, Eq. (33), can be rearranged as

\[
R_0 = R_F \frac{k_{1/2}^y/k + a_r + C_r(\theta, \Omega, \tau) - \Delta_c(\theta, \Omega, \tau)}{k_{1/2}^y/k + a_r + C_r(\theta, \Omega, \tau)},
\]

(35)

where \( \tau \) stands for \( \tau_r \) and

\[
\Delta_c(\theta, \Omega, \tau) = \frac{2 \cos \frac{\theta}{\cos^2 \theta - a_0}}{\cos \frac{\theta}{\cos^2 \theta - a_0}} (a_0 - a_r) \Psi_{0r} \Psi_{0r}.
\]

(36)

To study the resonance phenomena, we consider the case most suitable for their observation when the following inequalities are satisfied:

\[
\frac{D^2}{\lambda_{ab}^2} \sin^2 \theta \ll (1 - \Omega^2) \epsilon \ll 1.
\]

(37)

The left inequality corresponds to the continuum limit for the field distribution in the \( z \) direction, whereas the right inequality allows neglecting unity under the square root in Eq. (17). Note that the right inequality is not necessary for the observation of the resonance but allows us to significantly simplify the expressions for the reflectivity of a superconductor. Besides, we assume the dissipation parameter \( \nu \) to be small as compared to \((1 - \Omega^2)\),

\[
\nu \ll (1 - \Omega^2).
\]

(38)

The condition in Eq. (38) is necessary for the observation of sharp resonances in the reflectivity and absorptivity of a superconductor due to the excitation of SJPWs. For this frequency region, the complex parameter \( a_r = a_r(\theta, \Omega) = a_r' + i a_r'' \) can be written as

\[
a_r' = k^2 \lambda_{ab} \lambda_c \left( \frac{\nu}{2 \sqrt{1 - \Omega^2}} - 1 - \Omega^2 - 2i \right) |\tilde{q}_r|,
\]

where we introduce the dimensionless variable

\[
\tilde{q}_n = \frac{q_n}{k} = \sin \theta + \frac{\epsilon}{k}.
\]

When restrictions Eqs. (37) and (38) are valid, the expression for the reflectivity coefficient can be significantly simplified. First, the phase \( \phi \) of the Fresnel reflectivity coefficient, Eq. (22), is small,

\[
\phi = 2 k^2 \lambda_{ab} \lambda_c \tan \theta \ll 1.
\]

(40)

Second, the parameter \( a_r \), in Eq. (39) depends weakly on the angle \( \theta \) in the vicinity of the resonance, whereas it depends strongly on the frequency detuning \((1 - \Omega)\) and its real part is sensitive to the magnitude \( \nu \) of the damping. Note also that near the resonance, \( \Delta_c(\theta, \Omega, \tau) \) in Eq. (35) is almost real, \( \Delta_c(\theta, \Omega, \tau) = -2 \text{Re} \{ C_r(\theta, \Omega, \tau) \} \).

Vanishing the imaginary part of the denominator in Eqs. (34) and (35),

\[
\text{Im}[k_{1/2}^y/k + a_r + C_r(\theta, \Omega, \tau)] = 0,
\]

defines a curve in the \((\Omega, \theta)\) plane, where \([R(\Omega, \theta)]\) achieves its maximum. In view of assumed smallness of the coupling coefficient \( C_r \), this curve passes close to

\[
\theta = \theta_0 = \arcsin \left| 1 - r^2 \frac{\epsilon}{k} \right|.
\]

(42)

Separating the real and imaginary parts in the numerator and denominator in Eq. (35), we rewrite the specular reflection coefficient \( R_0 \) the form,

\[
R_0 = \frac{X_r(\theta, \Omega)}{X_r(\Omega, \theta)} + i \frac{\text{Re} \{ C_r(\Omega, \tau) \} - C_{opt}(\Omega)}{X_r(\Omega, \theta) - i \text{Re} \{ C_r(\Omega, \tau) \} + C_{opt}(\Omega)},
\]

(43)

where we introduce the incident-angle deviation \( \theta - \theta_0 \). For simplicity, below we restrict ourselves to the case of harmonic modulation and consider the resonances in the plus- and minus-first orders, \( r = \pm 1 \). Then,

\[
X_r(\theta, \Omega) = r \cos \theta \left( 1 - \frac{\Omega^2}{k^2 \lambda_{ab} \lambda_c^2} (\theta - \theta_{res}) \right).
\]

(44)
coupling between waves in the vacuum and the layered superconductor due to the resonance excitation of the surface Josephson shishes. This means that by appropriate choice of the parameters, the coupling parameter $\text{Re}\left[C_{s}(\Omega, \tau)\right]$ can be matched to the incident $\Omega$ and the SJPW. Under such conditions, the fluctuation of the incident wave is much higher than the amplitude of the incident wave, 

$$|R|_{\text{max}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}(1 - \Omega)^{3/2}}{\kappa^{1/2} \lambda_{ab} \lambda_{c}} \sin \theta_{0} \cos^{3/2} \theta_{0} \gg 1.$$  

Thus, we can achieve a high concentration of THz radiation energy in the SJPW.

The resonant decrease in the amplitude of the specularly reflected wave is accompanied by the resonant increase in the absorption. Evidently, for the optimal conditions, $\theta = \theta_{\text{res}}$, and $|f| = |f|_{\text{opt}}$, which correspond to the total suppression of the specular reflectivity, the energy pumped into the layered superconductor from the vacuum can be completely transformed into Joule heat due to the quasiparticle resistance. For the diffraction on the harmonic grating, the dependance of the absorptivity coefficient $A$ on the wave frequency and the incident angle is described by a resonance curve, 

$$A(\theta, \Omega) = 1 - |R_{0}(\theta, \Omega)|^2,$$

accurate within terms of order $|\tau|$. It should be noted that the resonant increase in the electromagnetic absorption can result in a transition of the superconductor into normal state. Thus, new kinds of resonance phenomena can be observed in layered superconductors due to the excitation of the SJPWs. However, for rather low intensities of the incident wave, the sample heating due to the Joule losses can be neglected.

We have illustrated our analytical results by the numerical calculations of the specular and resonance TCs given in Eqs. (34) and (35). The angular dependences of $|R|_{\text{max}}^2$ and $|R|_{\text{opt}}^2$ for the forward resonance diffraction in the first diffraction order ($r=+1$) on a harmonic grating are shown in Fig. 2. The asymptotic formulas (43) and (51) are in a good agreement with these plots. The modulation magnitude, $|f|$, was chosen to achieve the total suppression of the specular reflection. Its value is close to $|f|_{\text{opt}}$ defined by the asymptotic expression (50).
The specular direction. By means of Eqs. (43) and (51), one can easily follow the phase changes in the resonance and specular TCs. The value of 4(0, Ω) changes its sign when 0 crosses the point 0. Correspondingly, the R, experiences the phase shift ~π while the phase of R changes by ~2π (see insets in Fig. 2).

We also illustrated the effect of total suppression of the specular reflection by the distribution of the total magnetic field in the vacuum, Fig. 3. The interference pattern is seen for the nonresonant case when the amplitudes of the incident and reflected waves practically coincide. Under the resonance condition, when the reflected wave is totally suppressed, the interference pattern in the far field disappears, while the near-field “torch” structure of the SJPW is clearly seen near the vacuum-layered superconductor interface.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we present a systematic study of the resonance features for the diffraction of THz radiation on periodically modulated layered superconductors. The resonance is produced by the excitation of the SJPWs for specific combinations of the incident angle and frequency, and is analog to the well-known surface-plasmon polariton resonance in the visible and near-infrared region. The analytical study developed here allows us to predict strong resonance effects (total suppression of the specular reflection and total absorption) for specific combinations of the parameters. The interpretation of these phenomena is clear: under the resonance condition Eq. (1), the spatial-and-temporal matching (coincidence of both the frequencies and wave vectors) of one of the diffracted modes and the surface Josephson plasma wave occurs. In this case, a large fraction of the energy coming to the layered superconductor from the vacuum is transformed into Joule heat due to quasiparticle resistance and the reflectivity of the superconductor drops significantly. The reduction in the reflectivity can be complete for an optimal depth of the modulations. Thus, if the conditions for the total suppression of the reflectivity are satisfied, the energy flux (i.e., the z component of the Poynting vector of the incident wave) is completely absorbed. The reduction in the reflectivity \( |R|\) accompanied by the resonant increase in the electromagnetic absorption can produce a transition of the superconductor into the resistive or even into the normal state. Thus, a different type of resonance phenomena can be observed due to the excitation of the SJPW.

The strongly selective interaction of SJPWs with the incident wave having a certain frequency and direction of propagation can be used for designing future THz detectors and filters. A simple design for a detector is described in Ref. 6.

In this paper, the simplest (in-plane) configuration for TM-polarized incident wave was examined under single-resonance conditions (i.e., excitation of one running SJPW). The approach used here allows a similar study of the simultaneous excitation of two SJPWs (double resonance) as well as the examination of the so-called “conical diffraction mount” (out-of-plane diffraction). These items will be studied in the future. It seems interesting also to consider the resonance diffraction features for superconducting films of finite thickness. There the effects of resonance enhancement of the transmissivity could exist.
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