Variable-frequency-controlled coupling in charge qubit circuits: Effects of microwave field on qubit-state readout
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To implement quantum-information processing, microwave fields are often used to manipulate superconducting qubits. We study how the coupling between superconducting charge qubits can be controlled by variable-frequency magnetic fields. We also study the effects of the microwave fields on the readout of the charge-qubit states. The measurement of the charge-qubit states can be used to demonstrate the statistical properties of photons.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Superconducting quantum circuits are good candidates for implementing quantum-information processing [1,2]. To construct universal quantum computing, controllable couplings between any pair of qubits are required. Theoretical methods for switchable couplings in charge-qubit circuits have been proposed by changing the amplitude of the bias magnetic flux, e.g., in Refs. [2–4]. However, in experiments, it is much easier to produce precise frequency shifts of the radio-frequency (rf) control signals, as opposed to changing the amplitude of the dc signal. Methods using variable-frequency-controlled couplings in superconducting flux-qubit circuits have been studied [5] theoretically, comparing with the coupling approach using the dressed states [6–8]. In this scheme, the two qubits can be coupled to (or decoupled from) each other by modulating the frequencies [5] of externally applied variable-frequency magnetic fields to match (or mismatch) the combination of frequencies of the two qubits. The coherent oscillations and conditional gate operations of two superconducting charge qubits with always-on coupling have been demonstrated [9] experimentally. Therefore the next step for charge qubits would be to design superconducting quantum circuits with switchable couplings.

Here, we first generalize our approach [5] using the variable-frequency-controlled coupling in flux qubit circuits to the charge qubit circuit proposed in Ref. [4]. This proposal has the following advantages: (i) the coupling between different charge qubits can be implemented by changing the frequency of the externally applied classical field; (ii) these proposed charge qubits always work at their optimal points, and thus the qubits are mostly immune from charge noise [10], produced by uncontrollable charge fluctuations; (iii) no additional circuit is needed to realize this controllable coupling.

Besides the controllable coupling, measuring the qubit state is also a very important step in quantum information processing. In superconducting quantum circuits, microwave fields are often used to implement quantum rotations. Here we focus on how microwave fields affect the readouts of the qubit states. In particular, we explore the effect of quantized fields with different statistical properties on measurement results of the qubit states when the charge qubits are placed inside a microcavity, e.g., a three-dimensional cavity [11,12] or a superconducting transmission line [13].

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we generalize the variable-frequency-controlled coupling approach in flux-qubit circuits [5] to that in charge-qubit circuits [4]. In Sec. III, we study the effect of the classical and quantized microwave fields on the readout of the qubit states. In Sec. IV, we compare the classical and quantum treatment of the large Josephson junction. Finally, conclusions are presented in Sec. V.

II. HAMILTONIAN WITH VARIABLE-FREQUENCY CONTROLLED COUPLINGS

We first very briefly review the model Hamiltonian proposed in Ref. [4] for two coupled superconducting charge qubits by sharing a large Josephson junction (JJ) (see Fig. 1). The large JJ is classically treated and its charging energy $E_{c,0}$ is neglected. The dc biased magnetic field $\Phi_i$ is externally applied through the area between the large JJ and the first qubit. Each qubit is also biased by a dc voltage $V_{X_i}$ via the gate capacitance $C_i$ ($i = 1, 2$). The Hamiltonian of the superconducting circuit is [4]

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[ E_i(V_{X_i}) - 2E_{Ji} \cos \left( \frac{\pi\Phi_i}{\Phi_0} - \frac{\gamma}{2} \right) \cos \varphi_i \right] - E_{J0} \cos \gamma$$

(1)

with $E_i(V_{X_i}) = E_{c,i}(n_i - C_iV_{X_i}/2e)^2$. Here $E_{c,i} = 2e^2/(C_i + 2C_J)$ and $E_{Ji}$ are the charge and Josephson energies of the $i$th charge qubit. $E_{J0}$ is the Josephson energy of the large JJ. The number $n_i$ of excess Cooper pairs in the superconducting island is canonically conjugate to the average phase drop $\varphi_i = (\varphi_{iA} + \varphi_{iB})/2$ of the $i$th charge qubit. The phase drop across the large JJ is $\gamma$. Considering that the critical current $I_0 = 2\pi E_{J0}/\Phi_0$ of the large JJ is much larger than the critical
he coupled to two identical small JJs in the spin-1/2 realized via this effective inductance. It is clear that the coupling between the two qubits is magnetic flux-induced magnetic flux $\Phi_i$ plus a microwave-field-induced magnetic flux $\Phi(t)$ (ac) are applied to the (yellow) region between the large JJ and the first charge qubit.

Currents $I_{i} = 2\pi E_{i}/\Phi_0$ of the charge qubits, the phase $\gamma$ across the large JJ is very small. We can expand the functions of the phase drop $\gamma$ in Eq. (1) into a series and retain the terms up to second order in the parameters $\eta_i = (I_i/I_0) < 1$. In this case, Eq. (1) can be reduced to

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[ \varepsilon_i(V_{Xi}) \sigma_z^{(i)} - \bar{E}_i \sigma_x^{(i)} \right] - \chi_{12} \sigma_x^{(1)} \sigma_x^{(2)}$$

(2)

in the spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ representation based on the charge states $|0\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle$ and $|1\rangle = |\downarrow\rangle$ that correspond to zero and one excess Cooper pair in each Cooper-pair box, where $\varepsilon_i(V_{Xi}) = \frac{2}{3} E_{i}(C_i V_{Xi} / e - 1)$, and

$$\bar{E}_i = E_{i} \cos \left( \frac{\pi \Phi_i}{\Phi_0} \right) \left[ 1 - \frac{3}{8} \sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi \Phi_i}{\Phi_0} \right) \left( \eta_1^2 + 3 \eta_2^2 \right) \right],$$

with $i,j = 1,2$ $(i \neq j)$. The coupling strength $\chi_{12}$ between the two charge qubits is

$$\chi_{12} = L_{i} I_{1} I_{2} \sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi \Phi_i}{\Phi_0} \right),$$

(3)

where $L_i = \Phi_0 / 2 \pi I_0$ is the Josephson inductance of the large JJ. It is clear that the coupling between the two qubits is realized via this effective inductance.

Now, we study how to apply our variable-frequency-controlled approach [5] to the above charge-qubit circuits [4]. We assume that besides the dc voltages $V_{Xi}$ and the dc magnetic flux $\Phi_i$, an ac microwave voltage $V_{m}(t) = V_{m} \cos(\omega_m t)$ with the frequency $\omega_m$ is applied to the superconduction island of the $i$th qubit via its gate capacitance, and an additional variable-frequency (ac) magnetic flux $\Phi(t) = \Phi_1 \sin(\omega_1 t)$ is also applied through the area between the large JJ and the first charge qubit (see Fig. 1). To make our proposed charge-qubit more immune from the uncontrollable charge fluctuations, it is also assumed that two charge qubits work at their optimal points, i.e., the applied dc voltages $V_{Xi}$ satisfy the condition $\varepsilon_i(V_{Xi}) = 0$. Considering these conditions, the Hamiltonian in Eq. (2) becomes

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[ -\bar{E}_i \sigma_z^{(i)} + \varepsilon_0^{(i)} \cos(\omega_m t) \sigma_x^{(i)} \right] - \chi_{12} \sigma_x^{(1)} \sigma_x^{(2)}$$

$$+ \left( g_{12} \sigma_x^{(1)} \sigma_x^{(2)} - \sum_{i=1}^{2} (g_i \sigma_x^{(i)}) \sin(\omega_1 t) \right),$$

(4)

where $\varepsilon_0^{(i)} = E_{i}(C_i V_{m} / 2e)$ and $g_i = 2E_{i} \sin(\pi \Phi_i / \Phi_0)$. The parameters $g_{12}$ and $\xi$ are given by

$$g_{12} = L_{i} I_{1} I_{2} \sin \left( \frac{2\pi \Phi_i}{\Phi_0} \right) J_1(\phi_i),$$

and

$$\xi = J_1(\phi_i) \left[ 1 - \frac{3(\eta_1^2 + 3 \eta_2^2)}{16} \left( 1 - \cos \left( \frac{2\pi \Phi_i}{\Phi_0} \right) J_0(\phi_i) \right) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{3}{8} \cos^2 \left( \frac{\pi \Phi_i}{\Phi_0} \right) J_0(\phi_i)(\eta_1^2 + 3 \eta_2^2).$$

Here $\phi_i = 2\pi \Phi_i / \Phi_0$ and $J_n$ is the $n$-th order Bessel function of the first kind.

In the rotating reference frame at the frequency $\omega_m$ about $\sigma_x^{(i)}$, the Hamiltonian in Eq. (4) is rewritten as

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[ \hbar \omega_{\phi_i} - \bar{E}_i \sigma_z^{(i)} + \varepsilon_0^{(i)} \sigma_x^{(i)} \right] - \chi_{12} \sigma_x^{(1)} \sigma_x^{(2)}$$

$$+ \left( g_{12} \sigma_x^{(1)} \sigma_x^{(2)} - \sum_{i=1}^{2} (g_i \sigma_x^{(i)}) \sin(\omega_1 t) \right),$$

(5)

To eliminate the $\sigma_x^{(i)}$ term in Eq. (5), the frequency $\omega_{\phi_i}$ of the microwave field applied to the gate capacitance is set as $\omega_{\phi_i} = \bar{E}_i$. Furthermore, we can tune the flux $\Phi_i$ so that the coupling strength $\chi_{12}$ is less than the coupling strength $g_{12}$. Also, we tune the gate voltage $V_{m}$ so that the large detuning condition $|\varepsilon_0^{(2)} - \varepsilon_0^{(1)}| = \Delta \gg \chi_{12}$ can be satisfied. Under this condition, the always-on interaction $\chi_{12}$ is negligibly small, and the Hamiltonian in Eq. (5) is reduced [14] to

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \hbar \omega_{\phi_i} \sigma_z^{(i)} + \left( g_{12} \sigma_x^{(1)} \sigma_x^{(2)} - \sum_{i=1}^{2} (g_i \sigma_x^{(i)}) \sin(\omega_1 t) \right),$$

(6)

with $\hbar \omega_{\phi_i} = \varepsilon_0^{(1)} - \chi^\prime$, $\hbar \omega_{\phi_i} = \varepsilon_0^{(2)} + \chi^\prime$, and $\chi^\prime = \chi_{12}^2 / 2\Delta$.

Let us discuss how the interaction between two qubits can be switched on and off via Eq. (6) by changing the frequency $\omega$ of the variable-frequency magnetic flux $\Phi(t) = \Phi_1 \sin(\omega_1 t)$. Equation (6) shows that the two qubits are approximately decoupled from each other when there is no applied ac magnetic flux $\Phi(t)$. However, if the frequency $\omega$ of $\Phi(t)$ is tuned to satisfy the condition $\omega = \omega_1 + \omega_2$, then two qubits can be simultaneously flipped by the variable-frequency magnetic flux via the interaction Hamiltonian.
Below, we study how the different microwave fields affect e.g., Ref. with the help of the variable-frequency magnetic flux through the interaction Hamiltonian

\[ V_I = g_{12} \sigma_+^{(1)} \sigma_-^{(2)} + g_{12}^* \sigma_-^{(1)} \sigma_+^{(2)}, \tag{7} \]

where the contributions of other fast oscillating terms are negligibly small. If the frequency \( \omega \) of \( \Phi(t) \) satisfies the condition \( \omega = \omega_3 - \omega_1 \), then one qubit can be flipped by another with the help of the variable-frequency magnetic flux. Two-qubit operations can be implemented, and entangled states between two qubits can also be entangled states, created from the ground state \( |g_1, g_2\rangle \) through the Hamiltonian in Eq. (7). For convenience, we define a reduced quantity \( \kappa \) to describe the supercurrent \( \langle \dot{I} \rangle \) and supercurrent fluctuation \( \langle \Delta \dot{I}^2 \rangle \) as

\[ \kappa(\tau) = 1 + \langle \sigma_x^{(1)} \sigma_x^{(2)} \rangle = \frac{\langle \Delta \dot{I}^2 \rangle}{2I_c^2 \sin^2(\pi \Phi_c/\Phi_0)} \]

(14)

where \( \kappa_c \) is an ac signal. Here, \( \tau = |g_{11}| t \), with the evolution time \( t \). If the initial state is \( \langle \cos \theta | g_{11} \rangle \cos \phi + \epsilon \sin \theta | e_1, e_2 \rangle \), then \( \kappa_c = 1 + \sin(\tau + 2 \theta) \). When the evolution time \( \tau_0 = -2 \theta + 2n \pi - \frac{\pi}{2}, \kappa_c = 0 \), which gives rise to \( \langle \sigma_x^{(1)} \sigma_x^{(2)} \rangle \) = -1. Thus in this case both the total supercurrent and the supercurrent fluctuation become zero.

B. Quantized microwave field

Now let us consider the case when the variable-frequency magnetic flux \( \Phi_c \cos(\omega t) \) is replaced by a quantized magnetic flux, \( \Phi_c \sigma^c \Phi^* \), with frequency \( \omega = \omega_1 + \omega_2 \). Following the same way as the above derivation of Eq. (7), we can obtain an interaction Hamiltonian \( H_I \) between the quantized magnetic flux and the two charge qubits,

\[ H_I = \xi_{12} \sigma_+^{(1)} \sigma_-^{(2)} + \xi_{12}^* \sigma_-^{(1)} \sigma_+^{(2)}, \tag{16} \]

where
This model indicates that one photon can flip both qubits simultaneously.

We now consider that the two qubits are initially in the state \(\cos \theta |g,g\rangle + (\sin \theta e^{i\varphi} |e,e\rangle\) and the quantum field is initially in a state \(\sum D(n)|n\rangle\), here \(D(n)\) will be given below for a given state. From the Hamiltonian (16), the total system evolves to

\[
\Psi(\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [a_n(\tau)|e,e,n\rangle + b_n(\tau)|g,g,n+1\rangle] + (\cos \theta D(0)|g,g,0\rangle, \tag{18}
\]

where

\[
a_n(\tau) = \cos(\tau n + 1)(\sin \theta)e^{i\varphi}D(n) - \sin(\tau n + 1)(\cos \theta)D(n + 1),
\]

\[
b_n(\tau) = \cos(\tau n + 1)(\cos \theta)D(n + 1) + \sin(\tau n + 1)(\sin \theta)e^{i\varphi}D(n),
\]

with the rescaled dimensionless time \(\tau = \xi_{12} t\). Using Eqs. (14) and (18), at the time \(\tau\), the reduced supercurrent expectation value or supercurrent fluctuation \(\kappa_q(\tau)\) in the case of the quantized field is

\[
\kappa_q(\tau) = 1 + 2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\psi_0(\tau)(\cos \theta D(0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [u_n(\tau)v_n(\tau)]\right), \tag{19}
\]

where

\[
\psi_0(\tau) = (\cos \theta)(\sin \theta)e^{-i\varphi}D^*(0) - (\sin \theta)(\cos \theta)D^*(1),
\]

\[
u_n(\tau) = \cos(\tau n + 2)(\sin \theta)\sin \theta e^{-i\varphi}D^*(n + 1) - \sin(\tau n + 2)(\cos \theta)D^*(n + 2),
\]

\[
u_n(\tau) = \cos(\tau n + 1)(\cos \theta)D(n + 1) + \sin(\tau n + 1)(\sin \theta)e^{i\varphi}D(n).
\]

Equation (19) shows that the supercurrent expectation value \(\langle \hat{I} \rangle\) consists of a dc component \(-\eta \hat{\mu}/2\sin(2\pi \Phi_c/\Phi_0)\) and different ac components, which are modulated by time-dependent factors, e.g., \(\cos(\tau n + 1)\).

We further specify that the quantized field is initially in several different quantum states [16], e.g., (i) the coherent state

\[
|\alpha\rangle = e^{-|\alpha|^2/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha|^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle, \tag{20}
\]

with \(\alpha = \sqrt{n}e^{i\varphi}\); (ii) the superposition of two coherent states,

\[
|\alpha\rangle = e^{-|\alpha|^2/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha|^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle, \tag{20}
\]

with \(\alpha = \sqrt{n}e^{i\varphi}\); (iii) the coherent state. If \(\varphi = \pi/2\), \(\kappa_q\) has only a dc component; however, when \(\varphi \neq \pi/2\), \(\kappa_q\) consists of many different ac components.

\[
\Xi_{12} = -\frac{2\pi \Phi_c J_c l_c}{\Phi_0} \sin \left(\frac{2\pi \Phi_c}{\Phi_0}\right), \tag{17}
\]

\[
\Xi_{12} = -\frac{2\pi \Phi_c J_c l_c}{\Phi_0} \sin \left(\frac{2\pi \Phi_c}{\Phi_0}\right), \tag{17}
\]
If the qubits are initially in the ground state \(|g_1,g_2\rangle\), but the quantized fields are initially in the squeezed vacuum states or superposition of coherent states, then from Eq. (19), \(\kappa_q(\tau)\) is given by

\[
\kappa_q(\tau) = 1 - 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{Re} \left[ B(n) \sin(\tau n + \pi) \cos(\tau n) \right],
\]

with \(B(n) = D^*(n+1)D(n)\). Because of \(D(2n+1) = 0\), then \(B(n) = 0\) and \(\kappa_q(\tau) = 1\). Thus the oscillatory evolution disappears.

From Eq. (14), we know that the macroscopic supercurrent expectation value \(\langle \hat{I} \rangle\) can be described by \(\kappa_q\). Figure 3 shows that the supercurrent of the charge qubits are different with the same initial qubit state \((|g,g\rangle + |e,e\rangle)/\sqrt{2}\) but with different initial states of the quantum field. From Eq. (19), in the case of the coherent state \(|\alpha\rangle\) with the phase \(\varphi = \pi/2\), the total supercurrent \(\langle \hat{I} \rangle\) displays a sinusoidal-like evolution, as shown in Fig. 3(a). However, when \(\varphi = 0\), the total supercurrent is shown in Fig. 3(b). If the quantized field is initially in a superposition of coherent states, the total supercurrent \(\langle \hat{I} \rangle\), as shown in Fig. 3(c), demonstrates the collapse and partial-revival phenomena. In the case of the squeezed vacuum state, the total supercurrent approximately displays an ac current with a quasiperiodic evolution, which is demonstrated by Fig. 3(d). All irregular oscillations of the supercurrent expectation or supercurrent fluctuation reflect the coherent interference that comes from the coherent superpositions of the different photon number states. The different initial photon states result in different output of the measurement of the charge-qubit states. Therefore the measurement of the charge-qubit states can demonstrate the statistical properties of the photons, and charge qubits could serve as photon detectors.

IV. QUANTIZATION TREATMENT ON LARGE JOSEPHSON JUNCTION

In the Hamiltonian (1), the charging energy term \(E_{c0}N^2\) of the large JJ is neglected and the large JJ acts as an effective inductance \(L_J\) \([4,17]\). We now consider a quantum mechanical treatment for the large JJ. Considering the additional term of charging energy \(E_{c0}N^2\), the Hamiltonian of the large JJ can be written as

\[
H_0 = E_{c0}N^2 - E_{j0} \cos \gamma,
\]

with the charging energy \(E_{c0}\) and the excess Cooper pairs \(N\). Because the large JJ works in the phase regime, the spectrum of the large JJ is approximately equivalent to a harmonic oscillator \(H_0 = \hbar \omega_p a^d a\), with the plasma frequency

\[
\omega_p = \frac{1}{\hbar} \sqrt{8E_{j0}^0/E_c^0}.
\]

The bosonic operators \(a\) and \(a^d\) are defined by

\[
a = \frac{s}{2} \gamma + \frac{1}{2s} N, \quad a^d = \frac{s}{2} \gamma - \frac{1}{2s} N,
\]

and the phase drop \(\gamma\) is expressed as

\[
\gamma = \frac{1}{s} (a^d + a),
\]

with \(s = (E_j^{(0)}/2E_c^{(0)})^{1/4}\). Due to the large critical supercurrent of the large JJ, one can expand the phase drop \(\gamma\) in Eq. (1) into a series and retain terms to the first order of \(\gamma\). Finally, a spin-boson interaction between the two charge qubits and the large JJ is achieved:

\[
H = \sum_{i=1}^{2} e_i \langle V_{a_i} \sigma_i^{(j)} - E_{j_i} \cos \left( \frac{\pi \Phi_c}{\Phi_0} \right) \sigma_i^{(j)} \rangle + \hbar \omega_p a^d a
\]

\[
+ \sum_{i=1}^{2} [g_{i0} \sigma_i^{(j)} (a^d + a)],
\]

where \(g_{i0} = -(E_{j_i}/2s) \sin (\pi \Phi_c/\Phi_0)\). We assume that the plasma frequency \(\omega_p\) of the large JJ is much larger than the splitting of the qubits. Thus the large JJ is always in the ground state when the qubits are operated. Following the standard technique of adiabatic elimination \([14]\), we can eliminate the bosonic mode of the large JJ and obtain an effective interaction Hamiltonian between the two qubits:

\[X_{12} \sigma_1^{(1)} \sigma_2^{(2)}\],

with the coupling strength \(X_{12} = -2g_{10}s \omega_p\). Using the expression of \(g_{10}, g_{20}\), and \(\omega_p\), one can easily confirm that this interqubit coupling is the same as that in Eq. (2). Here the large JJ serves as the data bus to virtually

FIG. 3. Evolution \(\kappa_q(\tau)\) of the reduced total supercurrent expectation and reduced supercurrent fluctuation from the initial qubit state \((|g,g\rangle + |e,e\rangle)/\sqrt{2}\) in the presence of the quantum field initially in (a) coherent state \(|\alpha\rangle\) with the phase \(\varphi = \pi/2\); (b) coherent state \(\varphi = 0\); (c) superposition of coherent states \((|\alpha\rangle + |\alpha\rangle)/N_c\) with \(\varphi = 0\); (d) squeezed vacuum state \(|0\rangle\). The irregularity of oscillations originates from the interference effect of the photon component of the above states. Note that both \(\kappa_q(\tau)\) and the rescaled time \(\tau\) are dimensionless.
mediate the interaction between the two qubits. Therefore the classical and quantum treatment to the large JJ are equivalent to each other. Generalizing the two-qubit system to the multi-qubit system, the effective interqubit coupling term reads \( \sum_{i>j} \chi_{ij} \sigma_i^{(j)} \sigma_j^{(j)} \) with \( \chi_{ij} = -2g_0 g_{ij} / \hbar \omega_p \). Because \( g_0 = - (E_J / 2 \pi) \sin(\pi \Phi_e / \Phi_0) \), the coupling \( \chi_{ij} \) is tunable by changing the static magnetic field \( \Phi_e \) applied to the loop. We should point out that if the dc magnetic flux \( \Phi_e \) is replaced by an ac variable-frequency magnetic flux \( \Phi_e(t) \), then the qubit can be selectively coupled to the data bus by a well-chosen frequency-matching condition between the qubit, data bus, and the variable-frequency magnetic flux.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have studied a variable-frequency-control approach in charge-qubit circuits: the switchable coupling between the two charge qubits can be implemented by changing the frequency of the externally applied magnetic flux. Single-qubit operations can also be addressed and operated selectively. The charge qubits are chosen to work at their optimal points, so the effect of the noise, resulting from uncontrollable charge fluctuations, on the charge qubits is much suppressed. Moreover, the effects of the microwave field on the supercurrent of the two qubits are discussed. It is found that the supercurrent of the qubits significantly depends on the states of the microwave field. We also discuss the quantum treatment of the large JJ and find that both quantum and classical treatments are equivalent to each other. If the two-qubit circuit is generalized to many qubits, the interaction \( \sigma_i^{(j)} \sigma_j^{(j)} \) can also be achieved.
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