Rerouting excitation transfers in the Fenna-Matthews-Olson complex
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We investigate, using the hierarchy method, the entanglement and the excitation transfer efficiency of the Fenna-Matthews-Olson (FMO) complex under two different local modifications: the suppression of transitions between particular sites and localized changes to the protein environment. We find that inhibiting the connection between site 5 and site 6, or completely disconnecting site 5 from the complex, leads to a dramatic enhancement of the entanglement between site 6 and site 7. Similarly, the transfer efficiency actually increases if site 5 is entirely disconnected from the complex. We further show that if sites 5 and 7 are conjointly removed, the efficiency falls. This suggests that while not contributing to the transport efficiency in a normal complex, site 5 may introduce a redundant transport route in case of damage to site 7. Our results suggest an overall robustness of the excitation-energy transfer in the FMO complex under mutations, local defects, and other abnormal situations.
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Photosynthesis is one of the most important biochemical processes on earth [1]. When light is absorbed by a light-harvesting antenna, the excitation is transferred to a reaction center and used for charge separation. Among the various photosynthetic complexes, the Fenna-Matthew-Olson (FMO) complex in green sulfur bacteria is one of the most widely studied [2]. It has seven electronically coupled chromophores and functionally connects a large light-harvesting antenna to the reaction center. Since the observation of quantum coherent motion of an excitation within the FMO complex at 77 K [3], considerable attention has been focused on the possible functional role of quantum coherence in photosynthesis [4,5]. Recent experiments further suggest the presence of quantum coherence even at room temperature [6].

Most quantum technologies [7], such as quantum computation, quantum teleportation, and quantum communication, rely on coherence in one way or another. Apart from photonic qubits, almost all physical realizations demand extremely low-temperature environments to prevent fast dephasing [8] and loss of quantum coherence. Therefore, the observation of quantum coherence (entanglement) in the FMO complex at ambient temperature has naturally triggered a great deal of theoretical interest and models [4,5,9–13] focusing on this biological system. The simplest theoretical treatment of the excitation transfer in the FMO complex normally considers seven mutually coupled sites (chromophores) and their interaction with the environment. One can either use the Lindblad master equation, the more accurate hierarchy method [14], or other open-quantum system models [10,11,15,16] to explain the presence of quantum coherence and predict the physical quantities observed in experiments.

In a natural in vivo situation it is possible for the chromophores in the FMO complex to suffer damage, e.g., from optical bleaching or mutation, such that a transferring pathway is blocked or such that the environment (protein) is modified in some way. This has been demonstrated in recent experiments [17]. Motivated by this fact, we investigate in this work how the entanglement and the transfer efficiency change when certain pathways are blocked, or the properties of the local environment of one site are modified. This question has been raised elsewhere, for example, Ref. [18] discusses, using a Markovian model, how various dissections of the FMO complex affect the efficiency and global entanglement. Similarly, Caruso et al. [20] reported an increase in efficiency, from site 1 to 3, when the 4, 5, 6, 7 manifold was isolated from the 1, 2, 3 manifold.

Here, we specifically focus on the situation where an excitation arrives at site 6 and must reach the reaction center via site 3 (it is also thought that similar roles may be played by site 1 and site 4, respectively). In this scenario, we ask the question what role is played by site 5 (see Fig. 1), and what happens if it, or site 7, is damaged? We find that if site 5 is damaged or removed from the complex entirely, the entanglement between sites 6 and 7 increases dramatically, as does the dynamic population of site 7 and consequently the efficiency (as characterized by the population of the “reaction center”) [19]. We then show that if site 7 is damaged conjointly with site 5, the efficiency falls. Thus, site 5, while not positively contributing to the efficiency in a perfect FMO complex, may add robustness and redundancy (as does the 6-1-2-3 transport route). Thus our examination of the pathways connecting site 6 to site 3, and the robustness of the efficiency to alterations of this pathway, completes the picture started in earlier works investigating the pathways connecting site 1 to site 3 [20,21].

We begin with a brief introduction to the standard model of the FMO complex, and the description of its environment using the hierarchy equations of motion. We then discuss the concurrence and efficiency for damage and removal of site 5, and justify our interpretation of the role of site 5. Finally, we also consider a simplified Markovian model of a 3-site system and obtain analytical results for the concurrence between two of the sites to further elucidate our full numerical data.
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I. FMO MODEL

Consider first a single FMO monomer containing $N = 7$ sites, the general Hamiltonian of which can be written as

$$H = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \varepsilon_n |n\rangle \langle n| + \sum_{n<n'} J_{n,n'}(|n\rangle \langle n'| + |n'| \langle n|),$$

where the state $|n\rangle$ represents an excitation at site $n$ ($n \in 1, \ldots, 7$), $\varepsilon_n$ is the site energy of chromophore $n$, and $J_{n,n'}$ is the excitonic coupling between the $n$th and $n'$th sites. In the following calculations, we use the couplings and energies from Ref. [22]. Here, for simplicity, we omit the recently discovered eighth site [23] because its role on the excitation transfer process requires further studies. It has been shown that the excitonic coupling $J_{n,n'}$ is of the same order as the reorganization energy, i.e., the coupling to the nuclear motion (phonons) of the protein environment. Thus a normal secular Redfield, or Markovian Lindblad, treatment is insufficient [12,24], and the dynamics of the system must be modelled with a more complete approach, such as the hierarchy equations of motion [14]. These equations are nonperturbative and non-Markovian, and valid under the assumption of a Drude spectral density and an initially separable system-bath state at $t = 0$. The hierarchy is described by a set of coupled density matrices:

$$\dot{\rho}_n = -\left( L + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{m=0}^{K} n_{j,m} \mu_m \right) \rho_n - i \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{m=0}^{K} [Q_j, \rho_{n_j}^m]$$

$$- i \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{m=0}^{K} n_{j,m} (c_m Q_j \rho_{n_j}^m - c_m^* \rho_{n_j}^{m*} Q_j).$$

Here, $Q_j = |j\rangle\langle j|$ is the projector on the site $j$, $L$ is the Liouvillian described by the Hamiltonian and the irreversible coupling to the reaction center (see below) $L = -\frac{i}{\hbar} [H, \rho_n] + L_{\text{sink}}$. Under the assumption of a Drude spectral density the bath exhibits exponentially decaying correlation functions, $C_j = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_{j,m} \exp(-\mu_{j,m} t)$, where $\mu_{j,0} = \gamma_j$, $\mu_{j,m} \geq 1 = 2\pi m / \hbar \beta$, and the coefficients, which directly appear in the hierarchy equations of motion, are

$$c_{j,0} = \gamma_j \lambda_j / (\beta \hbar \gamma_j/2 - i) / \hbar$$

and

$$c_{j,m \geq 1} = \frac{4 \lambda_j \gamma_j}{\beta \hbar^2} \frac{\mu_{j,m}}{\mu_{j,m} - \frac{\beta \hbar}{2} \lambda_j}.$$ 

$\gamma_j$ is the “Drude decay constant” and indicates the memory time of the bath for site $j$ (each site is assumed to have its own independent bath), and $\lambda_j$ is the reorganization energy, related to the system-bath coupling strength.

The hierarchy method in this form is limited to a Drude-Lorentz spectral density but can be expanded to include more complex superohmic or colored-noise spectral functions [14, 25,26]. Several alternative methods [27–32] have also been derived to deal with such structured environments, and even coupling to discrete modes, and the effect of this structure of the excitation transport properties of the FMO complex is a topic of current research [32,33].

A full description of the hierarchy method can be found in the literature [14], but in summary, the hierarchy is a large set of coupled equations each labeled by $n$, a set of non-negative integers uniquely specifying each equation. The integers are defined as $n = \{n_1, n_2, n_3, \ldots, n_N\} = \{n_1, n_2, n_3, \ldots, n_N\} = \{n_{10}, n_{11}, \ldots, n_{1K}\}, \ldots, \{n_{N0}, n_{N1}, \ldots, n_{NK}\}$. In other words, each site $j$ has an additional label $m$, from 0 to $K$, and each of those labels in turn can run from 0 to $\infty$. The label $n = 0 = \{0,0,0, \ldots\}$ is special, and refers to the system density matrix. Its properties at any time $t$ define those of the system. This density matrix is in turn coupled to so-called “auxiliary density matrices,” which describe the complex bath fluctuations, by the terms in the equation with $n_{j,m}^2$ (i.e., $n_{j,m}^2$ implies the term in the index defined by $j$, and $m$ is increased or decreased by 1). At high temperature, and imposing the Ishizaki-Tanimura boundary condition [14], we can cut the hierarchy off at $K = 0$ and an appropriate total number of terms in the remaining labels $N_c = \sum_{j,m} n_{j,m}$ providing convergence.

We also include $L_{\text{sink}}$ to describe the irreversible excitation transfer from site 3 to the reaction center:

$$L_{\text{sink}}[\rho] = \Gamma [\hat{\delta} \rho \hat{\delta}^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\delta}^\dagger \hat{\delta} \rho - \frac{1}{2} \rho \hat{\delta}^\dagger \hat{\delta}],$$
where $\hat{s} = |0\rangle\langle 3|$, with $|0\rangle$ denoting the state of the reaction center, and $\Gamma$ the transfer rate.

In the FMO monomer, the excitation transfer from site 3 to the reaction center occurs on a time scale of $\sim 1$ ps, and the dephasing occurs on a time scale of $\sim 100$ fs [13]. These two time scales are both much faster than that of the excitonic fluorescence relaxation ($\sim 1$ ns), which, for simplicity, is omitted in our explicit results.

II. CONCURRENCE AND POPULATION DYNAMICS IN THE PRESENCE OF DEFECTS

Each site in the FMO monomer may be decoupled from its nearest-neighbor sites due to mutation-induced defects or rotation of the site [17]. To investigate the effect of this change on the excitation transfer, we consider the situation where the initial excitation arrives at site 6 and study the temporal excitation transfer when the excitonic coupling between two specific sites is inhibited. We find that when the coupling between sites 5 and 6 is inhibited, a significant enhancement of the coherence between sites 6 and 7 can be obtained.

To examine the coherence between the two sites, we utilize the bipartite concurrence $C$, which quantifies the degree of entanglement of any two sites $n, n'$:

$$C_{n,n'} = 2\left| \langle n|\rho_0|n'\rangle \right|.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

This is extracted from the $\rho_0$ density matrix, evaluated from the time evolution of the hierarchical equations of motion.

In Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), we show the concurrence $C$ of site 6 and site 7 when only the excitonic coupling between site 1 and site 6 is inhibited [blue dashed line, for bath temperatures of 77 K in (a) and 300 K in (b)]. The concurrence increases slightly since less sites share the excitation from site 6. In contrast, when only the coupling between sites 5 and 6 is inhibited, a much larger enhancement (red dashed curve) of the coherence can be obtained. This is simply because the 5-6 coupling is much larger than the 6-1 coupling; thus when the 5-6 coupling is inhibited more population can flow to site 7, which, since it is a coherent process even at 300 K, increases the concurrence between sites 6 and 7. This can be further clarified with a simple three-site model, which we discuss in Sec. IV.

FIG. 2. (Color online) (a,b) The concurrence (coherence) of sites 6 and 7 for (a) a bath temperature of 77 K and (b) 300 K. Not surprisingly, higher temperatures suppress oscillations. When the excitonic coupling between sites 1 and 6 (blue dashed curve) is inhibited the concurrence increases slightly. When the coupling between between sites 5 and 6 is inhibited (red dashed curve) or when site 5 is completely removed from the complex (orange dotted curve) the concurrence between 6 and 7 rises drastically. The solid black curve represents the concurrence of the sites 6 and 7 for the full unmodified complex. Figures (c,d) show the behavior of the populations at 300 K. Figure (c) shows the full unmodified dynamics, while (d) shows the case where site 5 is completely removed, and hence the population of 7 rises at a faster rate. Interestingly, in (d) the coherent oscillations in the site 6 population disappear, while in (b) we see that the coherence remains large, indicating that sometimes coherent oscillations are not a strong indicator of coherence (as also seen in [24]). In plotting this figure, we set $\gamma^{-1} = 50$ fs and $\lambda = 35$ cm$^{-1}$, and the rate from site 3 to the reaction center $\Gamma^{-1} = 1$ ps.
We also performed a simulation using a Lindblad model of the environment, similar to that discussed in Ref. [13]. We found that this model tends to overestimate the concurrence on early time scales (0.1–0.2 ps) and underestimate it on longer time scales (0.6 ps). However, qualitatively speaking, the effect of suppressing or disconnecting site 5 had a similar effect to that which we observed with the hierarchy model.

A. Efficiency in the presence of defects

What do these concurrence results imply for the overall efficiency of the transport process? To discuss this further we use a definition of the efficiency, developed in earlier works [9,13,20], based on the population of the reaction center as a function of time:

$$P_{RC}(t) = \text{Tr}[\rho(t) \hat{s} \hat{s}^\dagger],$$

(8)

where $\hat{s} = |0\rangle\langle 3|$ is the operator connecting site 3 to the reaction center (see Fig. 1), denoted by the state $|0\rangle$, as defined earlier for the Lindblad $L_{\text{sink}}$. Since the excitonic fluorescence relaxation of each individual site is slow ($\sim$1 ns) compared to all other time scales, $P_{RC}(t \to \infty)$ approaches unity, leading to the near 99% efficiency of the FMO complex commonly discussed in the literature. It is often argued that coherence plays an important role promoting this high efficiency, but some interesting investigations have shown that the quantum and classical models only differ by a few percent [34,35]. In addition, recent results [35,36] have investigated the effect of the non-Markovian environment on the efficiency.

To check this long-time behavior we employed an extended model (results not shown here), including the excitonic recombination rate of each individual site, and found that the defects discussed in the previous section do have a small effect on the long-time dynamics and that the magnitude of this effect strongly depends on parameters which are not precisely known [9]. For example, a change in the rate $\Gamma$ between site 3 and the reaction center by a factor of 5 results in a magnification of any differences in the efficiency. In addition, we found that any such change in the long-time dynamics is predetermined by larger changes in the early-time population of the reaction center. Thus here we use these short-time dynamics, in the absence of excitonic recombination, as an indicator of the efficiency.

In Fig. 3 we show the reaction center population as a function of time for a range of defects. We see that at both 77 and 300 K, completely cutting site 5 (red dashed curve) enhances the reaction center population over the unmodified case (solid black curve), and hence enhances the efficiency. Conversely, cutting site 7 alone (long dashed blue curve) reduces the efficiency; in this case the population is forced to traverse through site 5, which is a less efficient, and slower, route to site 3, and subsequently to the reaction center. In contrast, removing both site 5 and site 7 (green dotted curve) leaves only the 6-1-2-3 transfer route, which is less efficient due to the weak coupling between sites 6 and 1. This supports our earlier hypothesis that while site 5 does not contribute in a positive way to a perfect FMO complex, it may provide necessary redundancy in case of damage to the more efficient transport through site 7.

III. LOCAL ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGES

Other localized phenomena can also affect the transfer kinetics of photosynthetic complexes. For example, the vibronic structure of the FMO complex can be altered by the local substitution or deletion of the gene-encoding enzyme responsible for reducing the isoprenoid tail of the chromophores [17]. These alterations can lead to modifications both of the protein and the chromophores.

We can easily investigate the effect on the coherence when the local environment of one site is changed. We assume that the modification of the local environment of site 5 results in a stronger coupling to the protein environment. In Fig. 4, we show the concurrence $C$ of site 6 and site 7 as the coupling site 5 to the environment, via the reorganization energy $\lambda$, is increased. In contrast to when site 5 was removed from the complex, the concurrence initially increases slightly due to a small enhancement of the population flowing to site 7, and then decreases (with respect to the unmodified complex). We also observed the reaction center population as a function of these
changes in $\lambda$ (not shown in the figure) and found an overall small increase, but not as drastic as that observed in Fig. 2. The dynamics and efficiency, as a function of global changes in the environmental coupling and damping rate have been well studied in many other works [12,34]. A full investigation, more rigorously taking into account the physical effect of the changes observed in [17], remains to be performed.

IV. THREE-SITE MODEL

To further understand the mechanism that leads to the enhancement of the coherence between site 6 and site 7 observed in Fig. 2, we now consider a simplified three-site model, as shown in Fig. 5, where the three sites, 1, 2, and 3, now represent sites 6, 7, and 5, respectively, in the FMO complex. We assume that the initial excitation is at site 1 and assign the intersite couplings values approximately corresponding to the excitonic couplings in the FMO monomer: $J_1 = J_{6,7}$, $J_2 = J_{5,7}$, and $J_3 = J_{5,6}$. We further apply a Markovian dissipative channel to both sites 2 and 3 with the rate $\gamma$ to simulate the excitation transferring to other sites in the FMO monomer. Because $J_2$ is small compared with $J_1$ and $J_3$, we can approximately set $J_2 = 0$. For simplicity, we further assume $J_1 = J$ and $J_3 = \xi J$, where $\xi$ is the tuning parameter for the coupling strength. The concurrence of this simplified model can then be expressed as

$$ C = 2 \left| \frac{i e^{-i (\gamma + \Gamma)} (e^{\Gamma t} - 1) J}{2 h \Gamma^3} \left[ \Gamma^2 + (\Gamma^2 - \gamma^2) e^{\Gamma t} \right] \right| + \gamma [e^{\Gamma t} (\gamma + \Gamma)] \right|, $$

where

$$ \Gamma = \sqrt{-4(1 + \xi^2)(J/h)^2 + \gamma^2}. $$

As shown in Fig. 5(a), the concurrence of sites 1 and 2 is strongly enhanced (black solid curve) when the coupling $J_1$ between sites 1 and 3 is switched off. This is because the excitation population is predominantly trapped between sites 1 and 2. In Fig. 5(b), we further show how the concurrence increases while decreasing the coupling $J_1$.
simulate this result. Overall our results imply a robustness and redundancy to the energy transfer in the FMO complex, as also noted in [18,20,21]. One may also argue that the suboptimal nature of this redundancy suggests that it may be an accidental feature of the FMO complex, and, e.g., the geometry of the FMO complex may only occur due to a need to form an overall stable molecular structure. A full answer to this question may depend on a complete analysis of the probability of damage to a site in the primary transport route, and whether the small reduction in efficiency in the full complex, due to redundant pathways, is statistically off-set by the benefits gained from that redundancy.
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